Review of: "Digital Literacy in People with Disabilities: An Overview and Narrative Review"

Mohammed Habes[1]

1 Al Yarmouk University College

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The article is about an important topic, and in principle, the review performed on Digital Literacy in People with Disabilities: An Overview is a good idea, also performed as it comes to literature search in an adequate way.

Here are my suggestions:

1. The abstract lacks coherence, and the method is not figured properly. I would suggest using shorter sentences.

2. I believe a wider description of Digital Literacy in People with Disabilities is required in the introduction. Though you discuss examples in section 3, I think the reader needs to have a better understanding of this matter at the start.

3. Are sections "Milestones in The History of Computers and PWDs," Digital Divide, all based on the reviewed literature? If so, it should be cleared; if not, sections 1 and 6 seem like an introduction and maybe should appear before the method.

4. Did you look into the year of publication? As technologies change frequently, this might be important.

5. Section "Milestones in The History of Computers and PWDs" – are these the only categories? Were they defined by the authors or by one of the papers reviewed?

6. The manuscript is missing many sources. If statements are insights or assumptions of the author, they should be further explained and well established. The contribution of the author should be clear. For example, "The furtherance that Digital Literacy in People with Disabilities suffer from will lead to an increase in their isolation from society. Thus, it will lead to an increase in the rate of suicide among them".

7. Some figures are not adequately explained.

8. From the introduction: "The positive attitudes of parents and teachers played a crucial role in fostering computer literacy skills in their children.?" I do not necessarily know the answer to this question after reading the paper. Though you display the different technologies, you do not discuss whether the needs of People with Disabilities are optimally addressed or not. Do these technologies have any faults? Are some more important than others?

9. I would suggest adding a table that sums up the different Digital Literacy categories.

10. The language also has grammatical issues regarding the requirement of a proper academic writing style.