

Review of: "[Review Article] Nanocarriers for Protein and Peptide Drug Delivery"

Cláudia Viegas¹

¹ Universidade do Algarve

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The review is satisfactory written (some language corrections may be done), and is about a relevant topic nowadays (nanocarriers for protein drugs) however there are some points that the authors should be address in order to improve its quality:

- Synthetic polymers are interesting too namely due to the low variability between batches and enable a reproducible process. This idea is important too.
- The authors should clarify this idea please “natural biomolecules like proteins are gaining popularity as a safer alternative to synthetic polymers”.
- A lot of information is presented but in an superficial way (just description of facts), without practical examples. Lack of scientific discussion too.
- Basic and superficial information is provided lacking in new advancements and innovation concerning nanocarriers for protein delivery. There is no significative contribution to the field, so at the moment this article do not add value top this scientific field.
- The authors should use just one term for therapeutic protein and not both through the manuscript: drug or API.
- There is a lack of schemes/figures for the concepts illustration. They are important to catch the reader interest.
- The conclusion is well written however does not act of as mirror of the remain article. Also, the proposed objectives of the manuscript were not achieved.

I advise that the authors consider these issues carefully and resubmit the manuscript.

Thank you!