

Review of: "[Perspective] Hypochlorous Acid (HOCL): A Multifaceted and Promising Therapeutic Perspective Against Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV)"

Rashid Jan¹

¹ University of Swabi

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Major recommendations and suggestions on this work:

The abstract is not well written; I recommend writing the abstract of the work in a more scientific way.

There are some grammatical mistakes in the introduction section; check the introduction section and rephrase poor sentences. Mention the significance of the work in the introduction section of your paper.

I also recommend rewriting the introduction section in a scientific way. Reduce unnecessary references and include related references in the introduction section of the work.

What are the key advantages of this work?

I recommend checking for typos and grammatical mistakes in the whole work.

What are the primary challenges in managing HIV/AIDS in under-resourced regions, and how do they exacerbate socioeconomic disparities?

Despite the effectiveness of ART, what are the primary barriers to global access to these treatments?

Improve the introduction section with: In vivo HIV dynamics, modeling the interaction of HIV and the immune system via non-integer derivatives ,, Dynamical behavior and chaotic phenomena of HIV infection through fractional calculus ,, Fractional Dynamics of HIV with Source Term for the Supply of New CD4+ T-Cells Depending on the Viral Load via Caputo–Fabrizio Derivative ,, A fractional perspective on the dynamics of HIV, considering the interaction of viruses and the immune system with the effect of antiretroviral therapy

How could innovative treatments like HOCl help address the socioeconomic challenges associated with HIV/AIDS?

What are the main findings of this work, and how does this work help the scientific community?

Check that all the references are cited in the work. Also, check that the references are in a uniform style. Write the conclusion section in a more scientific way.

Remark: I recommend major revision, and the work will be suitable for consideration after the above-mentioned comments



and suggestions.