

# Review of: "Dingle's "Clock Paradox" Short Disproof"

Christian Corda<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> SUNY Polytechnic Institute

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

I had the pleasure to review and edit previous papers of Dr. Sarti in the past. I appreciate his research works and his understanding of foundational issues of theoretical physics. Concerning this review, I will refer to the final version of the paper published by Paradigm Press 2023. The paper is absolutely correct and well clarifies Dingle's misunderstandings of special relativity. Contrary to the claims of other Referees, which knowledge and understanding of special relativity seem very low, to say the least, I stress that the approach of Dingle is wrong not only from the mathematical point of view, as shown by Dr. Sarfi, but also from the logic one. Indeed, Dingle logic is founded on the issue that we cannot have the same time dilation for two different observers moving with relative constant velocity. What Dingle (as well as some Referee of this paper) did not understand is that the two observers use two different Lorentzian frames and we cannot "simultaneously" consider events in two different frames as being a sole event in a "global" frame.

I also had fun in reading a comment of Dr. Sarti in which he clarified that posting this paper in Qeios was an experiment to test the validity of Qeios peer review process. Indeed, I have seen that among the "Referees" there are various well known anti-relativity crackpots. Thus, it seems that the validity of Qeios peer review process breaks down.

In any case, I congratulate Dr. Sarti for this nice paper.