

Review of: "Determinants of Women Entrepreneurs' Business Performance: Evidence from Micro and Small-Scale Enterprises in Arba Minch Town, Southern Ethiopia"

Alim Syariati¹

¹ Universitas Islam Negeri Alauddin

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Dear Authors, my comments are:

1. Please mention the country of the data whenever you are stating an area, e.g., Arba Minch town, South Africa.
2. A reputable journal does not need the information from statistical software named in the abstract. The employed technique is just fine.
3. The abstract is filled with many lengthy phrases; it can be best paraphrased to make it more concise. See reputable journals for references.
4. The statistical numbers do not have to be present in the abstract. Please maintain conciseness.
5. Arguments must be supported by data or citations, e.g., "Women entrepreneurs are a key human capital in promoting economic growth in developing countries with transitional economic status." This is an argument and needs support.
6. Whenever you are using an abbreviation, please write the full form first, e.g., micro and small-scale enterprises (MSEs).
7. The issue of women's entrepreneurship is a globally recognized issue; thus, placing the specific novelty in the location of Arba Minch is insufficient to mention a substantive contribution. It is best to focus on the theoretical contribution instead of location-based novelty.
8. Sections 1.1 and 1.2 can be deleted.
9. The research design does not need a definition of a method; instead, the authors can explain "WHY" they selected a design, approach, technique, etc.
10. Sub-section 3.4 does not correspond to the end sample size. The sample formula reveals a 333-sample size, but your abstract has just 209 data points. Please be consistent.
11. It is not actually advisable to copy a table or result from SPSS or any statistical software and paste it into an academic paper. The authors can best summarize it to provide a simpler view and interpretation.
12. The use of SPSS for a survey-based study actually limits the potential of research, as the survey has to be

aggregated for data analysis. Furthermore, it will reveal unrealistic and potentially biased inferential statistics and unreliable collinearity statistics. However, this is just a recommendation for future studies.

13. The discussion must elaborate on the findings, connect them with past papers, present potential improvements for the field, and make a managerial impact, instead of focusing on just statistical numbers.