

# Review of: "NPO vs CSR: Will CSR eliminate the need for NPOs? The case of companies limited by guarantee in the UK"

Olawale Ismail<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Ahmadu Bello University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

This is a good non-experiential study. The validity of the data from 'participatory observation' is creative. However, the contents need to be written in standard/formal international publishable English. The author should please delete and rewrite many of the spoken forms of sentences (that is, don't, isn't etc). More so, the author will need to reconstruct most of the long sentences into simple or compound sentence. Reduce the complex sentences with many insubordinate clauses creating; bringing to many ideas into one sentence. It makes the entire study looks like an executive speech.

I agree with all the subheadings and the arguments that "CSR is now so much a part of any and all businesses that the distinction being made in anything other than simple legal terms between social and other types of enterprises is increasingly redundant". However, executives of social enterprise must continuously be at alert to industrial and contextual realities including policies that could make their organizations miss the rail of their 'real' intention (for establishing the enterprise). More, so I believe Friedman's perspective about CSR vs Profit making has come to stand as a good theory to defend capitalism but it has failed and can never take away the concept and intrinsic existence of CSR. Though CSR may not solve the envisaged social problems; but it is the best window for organizations to exist as 'social constructs' otherwise, even employees' salary/welfare will have no more value no matter how high and huge they are.

Great Work!!