

# Review of: "Digital Mapping of Resilience and Academic Skills in the Perspective of Society 5.0 for Higher Education Level Students"

Kanu Priya Mohan<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Srinakharinwirot University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The authors have made valuable efforts to design this research for a contemporary topic.

I would like to offer some suggestions/ recommendations to make the paper more academically meaningful -

1.The title should indicate the context- Indonesia

2.Abstract needs to be improved by-

- a. Adding the objectives of the research.
- b. Researchers should use "mixed method" approach.
- c. The analysis does not match the title- there is no "mapping".
- d. Reporting the statistics to support all the results. Preferably use APA style for reporting.

3.Find other suitable keywords and not repeat from the title

4.The introduction needs to be concise, highlighting the significance of this research, the original contribution and sharing the context of Indonesia. Certain aspects need attention:

- Introduction should be short and separated from Lit review section.
- In-text citations should be written in APA style – 7<sup>th</sup> ed.
- The objectives are not clear for this research. These do not match the analyses done.
- 

**5.Literature Review** heading should be be restructured

- Add literature review about each variable separately, and then show linkages between each along with implications for your research.
- Since it is a Quantitative research- adding hypotheses after showing linkages of variables would make it meaningful.

6.Methodology needs to be improved. The authors need to address-

- the sampling procedure that was followed and why did you select Bandung?

- how did the participants join the research?
  - When was this research actually conducted- mention the time of data collection?
    1. Instruments – should show some items for each variable, their sub-dimensions, reliability and validity from your research.
    2. Procedure should be a separate heading.
- 7.Results: Suggest that authors revisit their “purposes” and report more detailed analysis again. For instance, why was factor analysis not reported according to objective 3?
- 8.Discussion: It is recommended that the authors should revisit this important section of the paper and improve it. Some areas for consideration are:
- They need to add more details to discuss the findings in light of recent research evidence.
  - The discussion should focus more on the context- Indonesia.
  - Implications need to be revised to show how exactly would these findings lead to future research, knowledge building and application but in the given context.
  - Limitations should be reported.
  - Conclusion should be explained only in a few lines and not report results.
- 9.References and in-text citations need to be reviewed. Add doi where possible especially for journal articles.