

# Review of: "When did post-truth begin? From climate change denial to war-mongering nationalism"

Sana Ali

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Dear Author,

First of all, I would appreciate your efforts. During a major era of misinformation and disinformation, the concept of fake news, false information, and might b fake truth remained highly considerable, increasing our understanding of how damaging such information can be. Now coming back towards your article, some points need your critical scrutiny and revisions, such as:

1. Avoid adding citations in the abstract. It is not a valid study of writing an abstract.
2. "MIT," write its complete form. Currently, even I am unable to understand its complete form. It can confuse the readers.
3. The introductory part describes the "false truth", yet you have not highlighted the potential reasons behind false truths. I argue that false truth is not mainly political and can be aimed at some positive cause or maybe to avoid a big political clash between entities. But, if you quote some reasons behind the false truth in your discussion, the topic can be strengthened.
4. "These have all brought into question the internet's capacity to serve as a tool for disseminating knowledge.." who brought them into question? For example? Just two or three lines with empirical evidence, please.
5. The difference is maintained between misinformation and disinformation; well done!
6. "The Copenhagen Summit, 7-18 December 2009 (*aka* 15th session of the Conference of Parties -COP-15 or United Nations Climate Change Conference) has been perhaps one of the most dismal failures in the long and winding road to avoid climate change catastrophe." any evidence? Its a bold statement and needs a piece of empirical evidence; otherwise, readers might disagree.
7. "We are still paying the consequences of Rene Descartes' idea that animals are mindless automata lacking in sentience", cite pleas.
8. Add limitations of your research.

Overall, your article is of greater significance. Deeply analyzed and built on solid grounds. If brought suggested revisions are made, the quality can be improved. I wish you the best of luck regarding your research enthusiasm.

Regards

