

Review of: "Climate Change Denial Theories, Skeptical Arguments, and the Role of Science Communication"

Manuela Caballero-Guisado¹

¹ Universidad de Extremadura

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

In my opinion, the article is well written.

Some suggestions to authors:

1. *Figure 1, Ice Age temperature changes*, obtained from "social networks," is not very rigorous. Another scientific source would be more appropriate to support the authors' argument.
2. Data on the evolution of denialism in public opinion and its correlation with scientific communication activities, in some countries where the denialism of their political leaders is more evident, would allow readers to better understand the need for more effective scientific communication.
3. Emphasize the limitations of the nation-state to address global problems and the need for more demanding, binding global agreements.
4. In the final observations, in the third paragraph, in the first line, where it says *It is evident that, faced with a problem that threatens the existence of the planet*, the phrase should be corrected because climate change does not threaten the existence of the planet (it will be "swallowed" by the sun in several billion years), but it threatens the living conditions that are necessary for the existence of our species.