

## Review of: "The influence of perceived financial security on social intention entrepreneurs"

Carmen De-Pablos-Heredero<sup>1</sup>

1 Universidad Rey Juan Carlos

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

This is an interesting topic. I recommend some changes to improve the paper:

Indicate the meaning of social entrepreneurial intention (SEI) in the abstract when the term SEI appears for the first time.

In the introduction, this statement "The idea of social entrepreneurial intention (SEI) is explained by Hockerts' model, which is well-known for having solid theoretical and empirical underpinnings" needs some citations.

At the end of the introduction, after the last paragraph describing the main contributions of the paper, authors should add a paragraph describing in brief the structure of the article.

In the theoretical foundations, and just before drawing the proposed model, I suggest authors provide concrete arguments for each of the hypotheses drawn. There is a general argumentation, but the article should also focus on each of the relations by describing antecedents for each one.

In the theoretical framework, part: The social entrepreneurial aim model proposed by Hockerts, show a figure of the model and explain it in brief for a better understanding of it. It is core as one of the main objectives is to apply and adapt/enrich the model.

In the methodology, a wider support to justify the SEM Model is welcomed. Why is it more convenient? (exploratory model, previous similar analyses? ???) And why is AMOS favored over other software?

The time frame for collecting data should also be indicated in the methodology. The explanation about the sample is poor; can authors include more information? For example, ages? Level of education?

In the iii. Data analysis part, remove the "8".

In the results, I suggest showing the indicators over the drawing, the figure of the model. It is easier to understand the validation of the hypotheses this way; it facilitates the reading of the article.

In the multigroup analysis, there are no indicators of it. Please include indicators before the explanation of the results.

At the end of the conclusions, I suggest including some limitations of the analysis and suggesting future areas of research.



There are some grammatical errors to avoid. For example, eliminate the f in this sentence: "Its f mediating".

Eliminate the "." at the end of the titles.

SEI remove the extra (in here: (4).