

# Review of: "CPTED for a Safe Basti: A Case of Nardan Camp"

Fernanda Moscarelli<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Université Paul Valéry (Montpellier III)

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

The article proposes result analyses by applying the CPTED methodology in an Indian neighborhood. The aim is interesting however the product is weak for a scientific journal.

Most of the article presents this methodology, but the details on how the actors (who are these actors?) applied this methodology in the specific case are absent. The results and the discussion about them are also absent. The scientific community is not interested in publishing articles oriented in explaining a methodology, such as CPTED. This is more related to books.

I suggest that the author rewrite this article, giving more attention to specificities and results guided by scientific rules.

First recommendation: Never take scientific or political sides without doing or having a very strong literature review as support: "These crimes need to be addressed and prevented through design interventions in Basti based on the methods of CPTED." This is an opinion. Other research certainly defends other ways or methodologies.

Second recommendation: Never present a methodology or other names, organizations, etc. by the acronym in the title. Usually, the author presents the complete name with the acronym before using just the acronym.