

Review of: "A Cross-sectional Survey of Public and Private Cancer Care in Nigeria and Romania"

Roland Nnaemeka Okoro

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Abstract

- “We explored key elements of patient care in two centers; Medisprof Cancer center, University of Calabar Teaching Hospital (UCTH)” The way this sentence is written indicates that Medisprof Cancer center is in the University of Calabar Teaching Hospital.

Methodology

- This section should begin with study design followed by settings
- What informed the choice of private setting in Romania and a public setting in Nigeria? If this survey was not intended to be comparative study, then what justifies the study?
- A brief description of how participants were selected is required under sampling
- There should be a sub-heading for the description of the instrument used. Also, information on how this instrument was developed and validated is needed.
- What were the reasons for ethical exemptions from each hospital, considering the fact that this study involved prospective data collection from patients

Results

- The results don't make any sense if the study is not a comparative study as stated by the authors.
- The authors should explain in details how Analysis of variation (ANOVA) of all the responses gave a p value of 0.232635 was determined.

Overall Comment

This study doesn't merit publication due to serious flaws in methodology