

# Review of: "Tweeting AI: A Machine Learning Approach for Bird Species Detection and Classification"

Rana Alabdan

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

## Comments:

- The language used throughout this paper needs to be improved. The author should do a thorough checking on grammatical and typographical mistakes. Give the article an effective language revision to get rid of a few complex sentences that hinder readability and eradicate typo errors.
- At the end of section 4, I recommend summarizing the results in detail. And, a paragraph should explain how the proposed method achieves reliability and better performance over the compared methods.
- Finally, the authors have some issues to work on. If I missed any major points, I suggest the authors to review the work such that it can be presented more clearly.
- The simulation results should be analyzed giving the reasons of the improvements claimed for each of the simulation
- Literature review is sufficient. But the author should clearly mention the research gap available in the literature and the importance of the proposed model.
- The article is in desperate need of proofreading. In the abstract, there are several grammatical errors; this affects the manuscript readability and presentation.