Review of: "Business Model Innovation as a Structural Framework for Business Sustainability Growth: A Systematic Review"

Helio Aisenberg Ferenhof[1]

1 Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina

Abstract is expected (Scientific Problem, Aim, Method, Results, Conclusion) please adequate

Introduction is expected (Problematic, Scientific Problem, Aim) please adequate

What is the Scientific problem? The SLR method will Answer the questions to solve in part or whole the Problem?

Why bibliometrics?

As stated by Ferenhof and Fernandes (2016)

"should be noted that the graphics and figures can be beautiful visually, but what is the value of these? In what they contribute to the manuscript purpose? What analysis was done on these graphs, figures and tables originated from bibliometrics? The focus should be on value. Bibliometrics by bibliometry, without purpose, should be avoided. However, when a purpose and an analysis are describing the numbers, graphs, figures, and tables can add value to the work. In this case yes, it should be used.In conclusion, the analyzes help to establish relationships and significance between the search terms, systematically identifying a set of factors relevant to the research"

Please check the work of Ferenhof and Fernandes (2016)https://revista.acbsc.org.br/racb/article/view/1194/pdf_1

Method please ensure repeatability and reliability. Also check Ferenhof and Fernandes (2016) that show on of the may ways to do SLR, but reveling the step by step done, leaving breadcrumb.

The authors stated: - "we further refined our sample by focusing on studies published in journals with an impact factor of 1.5," only 1.5? 1.6 is excluded? The text is not well written and do not state above 1.5. .. Ok in the figure shows < 1.5… but the text must be clear…

Also… Why 1.5 ? Who author(year) can support the 1.5 ? Why not 2.0 or 1.4?!? Where in the prisma is this 1.5 ?

Is not at http://www.prisma-statement.org/documents/PRISMA_2020_checklist.pdf

nether on http://www.prisma-statement.org/documents/PRISMA_2020_flow_diagram_updated_SRs_v2.docx

the discussion should align with a scientific problem and aim.

The conclusion is narrow please go deeper.

What can be done with the paper? What are the advances for the knowledge area? for the market? What is the novelty?