

## Review of: "Symmetric Key generation And Tree Construction in Cryptosystem based on Pythagorean and Reciprocal Pythagorean Triples"

Muzafer Saračević<sup>1</sup>

1 International University of Novi Pazar

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

I read carefully the author's contribution, and I would give several suggestions.

- 1. The authors should add more details about their final results in the abstract. On demand of publication of the manuscript, it is necessary that the abstract must outline the objective, method, main results, and conclusion. The abstract must be adequate as an index to all the subjects treated in the paper and will be used as a base for indexing. In the abstract, make sure to state the research contribution explicitly.
- 2. One paragraph is needed to be added to the introduction to show the main contribution and how does it differ from the previous work. The author should add a paragraph at the end of the introduction section to describe the paper structure and sections. Introduction may be improved, adding the highlights and the problem statements. You could improve writing, link better the ideas flow in the Introduction.
- 3. The conclusion needs improvements towards major claimed contribution. Write some future directions in the conclusion section. Review references because some of them are unstandardized. References have different citation setups.
- 4. The difference between your proposal and related works is not clear, you could do details better. I suggest add a comparative table in "Related Literature" to contrast your solution in front of related works. You could discuss the relationship between your solution and past literature.
- 5. Comprehensive editing of English language and style required: There are some sentence structure problems in the essay. Also, pay great attention to your spelling. For language correction needs, please use Grammarly.

Qeios ID: TE74BW · https://doi.org/10.32388/TE74BW