

Review of: "Medical students' disease status of COVID-19: A multicenter study"

Oluwatosin Odubela¹

1 Nigerian Institute of Medical Research

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Thank you for providing the opportunity to review the manuscript.

I believe the article is well constructed, however, I have a few comments to improve the readability of the manuscript.

- The abstract section will require inclusion of the time period when the survey was constructed. This will help to
 reviewers and readers to have an understanding of the study. The abbreviations, COVID-19 and KPK were used
 without providing the meaning
- 2. The main text of the manuscript will require English Language editing as some of the grammar seem out of place. I believe if this is done, the manuscript will be better. The use of abbreviations in the main text should provide the meaning when used for the first time in the manuscript.
- 3. Introduction of manuscript; Covid in the second paragraph should be substituted to SARS-COV-2 infection. Define KP province and provide the time (month and year) when the statistics on cases of COVID-19 infections was computed.
- 4. Material and methods; preferably state obtaining rather than attaining ethical approval. The validity of the self-developed questionnaire was not provided. In addition, was the questionnaire pretested before being deployed for the study. Authors should provide more details with respect to the study location and time (months and year) when the study was conducted.
- 5. Results; the title of Table 1, Figures 1 and 2 will require to be rephrased to convey the import the details of the tables and figures
- 6. Discussion; the first paragraph seems to align with the introduction as this will provide a justification for the study and provide the context for the study as well. The timeline for the study stated in the discussion section (9 months) is at variance with the timeline stated in the methodology section (6 months). The discussion does not seem to emphasize of the results of the study and relate it to other studies properly. For example, the increased odds of clinical students to contracting COVID-19 infection was not explored in the discussion. It is not given prominence in the discussions.
- 7. Conclusion; No comments

I believe if the above comments are incorporated into the manuscript, it will provide for good readership beyond the scientific circles.

Thank you

