

Review of: "Comparative Study Between the Efficacy of 4% Articaine Infiltration and 2% Lidocaine Nerve Block as Local Anesthetic Agents for Painful Dental Procedures in Children Aged 6-18 Years"

Alireza Jahangirifard¹

¹ Shaheed Beheshti University of Medical Sciences

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Dear Editor,

Thanks for sending me the manuscript.

I read it carefully and below are my comments:

The purpose of the study is comparison between the efficacy of 4% articaine as buccal infiltration and 2% lidocaine as a nerve block, according to the topic.

However, both groups (A & B) received a nerve block, so the methodology is not correct and the results cannot be right.

It would have been better if one group had received articaine and another one had been treated by block.

Another question is why the drugs were applied weekly? These drugs are not long-acting and can be injected once and before the dental procedure. The authors have not explained more.

In general, I think it is not suitable for publication.

Regards,