Are Tobacco Companies in Nigeria Complying With Health Warning Label Regulations on Cigarettes and Other Tobacco Products?
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Abstract

Background: Nigeria has approved 50% coverage of text and images of pictorial health warning labels (PHWLs) on packages of cigarettes and other tobacco products such as cigars, smokeless tobacco, and loose tobacco. While there is knowledge on the extent to which LMICs like Nigeria adopt the WHO Framework Convention of Tobacco Control (FCTC), there is need to examine the compliance with adopted packaging and labeling policies to generate knowledge for strengthening existing policies and efforts at enforcement. We assessed agreement with FCTC regulations as well as compliance with Nigerian requirements which are not adequate and do not effectively capture all FCTC requirements to identify the gaps being explored by these companies in an environment of poor regulation in Lagos, Nigeria.

Methods: This descriptive study was conducted in Lagos State, Nigeria, from October 2022 to January 2023. A multistage random sampling method was utilized. Four local government areas (LGAs) with a combined population of 16 million, accounting for 70% of the population in Lagos, Nigeria were selected while three points-of-sale were randomly picked from two selected wards in each LGA. Empty discarded packs of cigarette and other tobacco products were collected from these outlets. The pack dimensions were measured and assessed for PHWLs, health warning manipulations and cessation assistance. Findings were assessed for compliance with the FCTC and national legislation. For each compliance feature, we calculated the frequencies and percentages of the packs that were compliant.

Results: 704 packs: 434 packs of 22 cigarette brands and 270 packs of 17 different tobacco products including cigars, snuff and loose tobacco were included in the study. Of 434 cigarette packs assessed, 50.3% were compliant with 9 indicators measured. These ranged from 48.8% to 55.5% for individual brands. For cessation assistance, image variability and image manipulation, compliance was 0% across all cigarette packs. Out of 270 tobacco product packs assessed, 10.6% were compliant with the 9 indicators assessed. Of the 12 multinational parent companies and product distributors assessed, the overall level of compliance among them was 24.9%. Level of compliance was noted to very low for companies involved in marketing other tobacco products, ranging from 0% to 20% but moderate for those
marketing cigarettes, ranging from 37% to 55.5%. Companies that had no presence or subsidiaries in Nigeria but only export their products were highly non-compliant.

**Conclusions:** Tobacco companies had low compliance with PHWL on cigarettes and negligible compliance on other tobacco products like cigars, loose and smokeless tobacco. The government should expand and strictly enforce all regulations applying to health warnings, especially on non-cigarette tobacco products and closely monitor companies that merely export their products to the country.
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**Introduction**

The use of tobacco in its combustible and noncombustible forms is a primary contributor to the global burden of diseases and a public health problem with severe health and economic consequences. [1] The economic cost of tobacco related morbidity and mortality was approximately 1.8% of the world’s annual gross domestic product in 2012.[2][3] Globally, 21% of adults are current smokers approximately half of whom will die from a tobacco-related illness, representing about 8.8% of the global mortality in year 2000.[4] Thus yearly, tobacco kills 8 million people around the world, including 1.2 million nonsmokers who are exposed to secondhand smoke.[5] More than 80% of the world’s smokers live in low- and middle-income countries. Thus, tobacco use is a leading reason for inequalities in health and mortality in Sub-Saharan Africa, Nigeria inclusive.

Even though the overall prevalence of cigarette use in Nigeria has declined, one in four adolescents and young people in Nigeria still smoke[6] and vulnerable populations like people living with HIV[7] and certain key occupations like truck and commercial drivers have an unusually high prevalence of tobacco and cigarette use.[8] The yearly financial burden of cigarette smoking in Nigeria is ₦634 billion (488 million US dollars), while 5% or 29,000 annual deaths and 800,000
disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) are attributable to smoking in the country.\(^9\) Cigarette smoking costs Nigeria ₦526.45 billion (approx. USD 1.7 billion) annually in direct treatment, which is equivalent to 0.36% of GDP and 9.63% of the country’s annual healthcare budget. This burden is mainly attributable to COPD (63%), stroke events (12%), and cardiovascular diseases (6%).\(^9\)

Nigeria exerts significant economic and political influence within Africa and it is a major market for the tobacco industry. British American Tobacco (BAT) is the leading player in Nigeria’s tobacco sector, owning 60% of the Nigerian Tobacco Company (NTC), which itself commands around 80% of the local market. Other registered competitors in Nigeria include International Tobacco Company Limited, holding a 16.5% share, Black Horse Tobacco Limited with 3%, and International Tobacco Company (ITC), a subsidiary of Philip Morris International, with 1.1%. Various other companies together account for approximately 0.8% of the market share. The tobacco industry in Nigeria has effectively used lobbying and front groups to obstruct and undermine Nigeria’s tobacco control measures, particularly the Tobacco Smoking (Control) Decree 20 of 1990 and the subsequent efforts to update the country’s tobacco control laws.\(^10\)

Many approaches have been utilized to confront this menace and preventive evidence-based policies are more cost-effective than medical and surgical treatments in those who already have a tobacco related illness. One such policy introduced by the World Health Organization (WHO) is the Framework Convention on Tobacco Control which identified six practical, inexpensive and attainable measures known as MPOWER.

One of the key recommendations of the WHO report on the global tobacco epidemic is the fundamental right of smokers to be informed about the health consequences, addictive nature, potential for disability and premature death associated with tobacco consumption and exposure to tobacco smoke. Article 11 in the Framework Convention on Tobacco control (FCTC) stipulates that at least 50% of the principal display area of both sides of cigarette packs and other categories of tobacco products should exhibit rotated messages and warning as well as pictures which spell out the dangers associated with tobacco use and exposure to tobacco smoke in the dominant language(s) of the country.\(^11\) Cigarette Health Warning Labels (HWL) have become a crucial component of the integrated public health campaigns designed to enlighten and influence smokers about the harmful hazards associated with the habit. Health warnings on cigarette packages provide smokers with widespread access to information on the risks of smoking. This health promotion approach is particularly effective, because unlike other products in which the packaging is thrown away after opening it, cigarette packs are usually retained by smokers until all cigarette sticks are smoked, giving an opportunity for repeated exposure to the messages. The warnings on the packs are thus a simple and cost-effective way of communicating the risks associated with tobacco use. Additionally, cigarette packs in some countries include smoking cessation resources, such as a quit line number and/or a cessation website which the smoker can contact.\(^12\) Thus, the HWL on cigarette packets make cigarettes less appealing to the smoker, and are associated with more quit attempts or reduction in the number of cigarettes smoked.\(^13\)

The effectiveness of the HWL is however limited by its size, design, position, clarity, specificity and the habituation of smokers to monotonous messages. The types of health warnings also differ significantly across jurisdictions, ranging from explicit illustrations of tumors and other cancer related lesions on Canadian packages to ambiguous text warnings in other
countries. As of 2021, Nigeria, which has a moderate to high use of tobacco products,\textsuperscript{[14][15][16]} was one of the countries that had developed and approved 50% coverage of the images of pictorial health warning labels (PHWLs) and accompanying text to be used for printed on packages of cigarettes and other tobacco products such as cigars, waterpipe, smokeless tobacco, and loose tobacco.\textsuperscript{[17]} The Nigerian Industrial Standard for Tobacco and Tobacco Products and the National Tobacco Control Regulations, 2019 stipulates that the combined picture and text health warnings must cover 50% of the front and back of the cigarettes and smokeless tobacco product packages. Currently, three health warnings have been prescribed, with one warning to appear at a time for a period of up to 24 months. It also states that a text-only health warning must also be displayed on one of the lateral sides of the package. Beginning in June 2024, it stipulates that picture health warnings must be displayed on 60% of the front and back of packages.\textsuperscript{[17]}

Nigerian regulations are not all-inclusive, and no study in the country has assessed the implementation and compliance of tobacco companies with the PHWL on the packs of other tobacco products in the light of FTCT regulations.\textsuperscript{[18]} We thus aimed to assess the compliance of tobacco companies with Nigerian regulations and as well as the level of agreement with FCTC regulations on cigarette packs and other tobacco products in Lagos, Nigeria.

Methods

This descriptive study was conducted in Lagos State, Nigeria, from October 2022 to January 2023. Ethical approval was obtained from the Health Research and Ethics Committee of the Lagos State University Teaching Hospital before commencing the study. There are thirty-six states in Nigeria apart from the federal capital territory Abuja, but Lagos State, in the Southwest geopolitical zone of Nigeria which has a population of over 20 million people is the commercial nerve center and a cultural melting pot of the diverse population of Nigerians of different cultures and backgrounds, making studies conducted in it more representative than in other regions in the country, hence its selection. The state is divided into administrative and political geographic units called local government areas (LGAs).

A multistage random sampling method was utilized. Mushin, Lagos Island, Ikeja, Agege and Ikorodu LGAs were selected in the first stage while three points-of-sale were randomly picked from two randomly selected wards in each LGA. Ikeja is the capital of the state and its economic hub which has all socio-economic classes represented in the local government area. The Mushin LGA is located 10 km north of the Lagos central business district, and is a densely populated mixed commercial and residential area with congested housing, and an estimated population of 1,312,517 according to the Lagos State 2006 census. Lagos Island is the commercial and business nerve centre of Nigeria due to its endowed physical and human resources. Lagos Island has the highest degree of commercial, financial and social influence on other parts of Nigeria. Ikorodu is the second largest LGA in Nigeria and it comprises mainly of manufacturing, farming and trading activities. Agege has a land mass of about eighteen square kilometers (18km\textsuperscript{2}) and was predominantly designed as a commercial center but most of the original settlers who were traders have made it a residential area.

In each of the selected wards, three points-of-sale were randomly chosen at public places where tobacco products were sold or consumed for empty packs collection. Two calibrated research assistants collected the cigarette and related
tobacco packs of representative brands from tobacco vendors at each point of sale. Training included a discussion of the purpose of the study, an overview of the study design, a detailed description of the procedures to be used for collecting or observing tobacco packs, and how to complete data collection forms. Empty and intact neatly discarded packs of cigarette and other tobacco products which were freely available were collected from the outlets with the permission of the vendors. A total of 434 cigarette packs were sampled and selected for inclusion in this study. These included products like Chesterfield by British American Tobacco, ESSE compact black by KT an G (Korea), Benson and Hedges by British American Tobacco, Selected Premium tobaccos by Phillip Morris International, Royal Standard by British American Tobacco, EDGE by KT an G (Korea), and others like TIME Virginia blend, Dorchester International, ORIS, BOHEM, Rothmans, Aspen, Dorchester, Dunhill, Sterling, Marlboro, Royal standard, Bohem green plus, St Moritz by Dunhill, Pall Mall, Target and All stars. Likewise, 270 packs of non-cigarette tobacco products like AlOostoura mint and cream flavour shisha tobacco packs, Jewels vanilla flavoured tobacco, Phillies blunt cigars, King song e-cigarette among others were also sampled.

The following Nigerian and other FTCT regulations were assessed in the packs:

1. Health Warning Label and Picture Size: The labels were assessed to see if they cover at least 50% of the package surface, and if the text was large and visible. Labels were also checked for location and to see if they were noticeable and if the text used large fonts text that contrasts with the background color.

2. Health Warning Variability: HWLs were assessed for themes such as those depicting: self-harm from using cigarettes, ie ‘Smoking causes lung cancer’; harming family or children with SHS, ie SHS causes lung cancer; reinforcing compliance with existing smoke-free policies and anticigarette gift giving practices. It was determined if a set of messages using several approaches rather than a single, broad message was utilized.

3. Cessation Assistance: information about cessation resources, such as a quit line number and/or a cessation website were checked for.

4. Plain Packaging: The packs were checked for flashy logos or any labelling that promotes tobacco products by false, misleading and messages likely to create an erroneous impression including any term, descriptor, trademark, figurative or any other sign that directly or indirectly creates the false impression that a particular tobacco product is less harmful than other tobacco products.

5. Health warning manipulations: HWL were checked for manipulations such as tinting, darkening, fading, changing the background colour from blue to grey, cropping the HWL, blurring, brightening and increasing the colour saturation or reducing the size of the lung cancer image.

All panels of each package, front, back, top, bottom, sides, and inside, were assessed and the location, size of all health warnings and promotional messages. The width/length/circumference/diameter of the pictorial and text warnings were measured in centimetres using a calibrated scale for cuboid packs and a measuring tape for conical and cylindrical packs. The size of the warning labels relative to the Principal Display Area (PDA) were calculated using a standard ruler as the area covered by the warning label or labels (warning height × warning width) divided by the PDA. To enter the characteristics of each pack into the profoma, the two trained and calibrated examiners examined and reviewed the products and entered their values separately. The final value to be entered for each product was reviewed with a public
Data analysis

Data were entered and analyzed using SPSS version 26.0.0. Basic descriptive procedures were run for the type of tobacco products used, with frequencies and percentages calculated. For each compliance feature, we calculated the frequencies and percentages of the packs that were compliant. Compliance of the tobacco products with FTCT requirements was also dichotomized as not present/present for each item.

Results

704 packs which consisted of 434 packs of 22 cigarette brands and 270 packs of 17 brands of other tobacco products including cigars, snuff, pipe tobacco and shisha tobacco were included in the study.

Examiner Reliability

The two examiners were trained and calibrated for identification of relevant elements and calculation of PHWL as well as data entry by a public health specialist 20 randomly selected cigarette packs and 20 randomly selected packs of other tobacco products. The inter-examiner reliability for both examiners was 0.87, while the inter-examiner reliability was 0.84 and 0.89 for the two examiners, respectively.

Health warning label compliance by indicators and by specific cigarettes, 2023.

Out of 434 cigarette packs assessed, 50.3% of the packs evaluated were compliant with the 9 indicators assessed (Location of PHWL, PHWL size, size of graphic image, size of textual warning, cessation assistance, variability of textual warning, image variability, plain packaging and image manipulation). These ranged from 48.8% for All Stars and Target cigarette to 55.5% for Benson and Hedges. For specific indicators, the level of compliance for location of PHWL being placed on both the front and the back of the packs and for text variability (stating the health effects of cigarettes to include a variety of specific types of cancer) was 100% across all cigarette packs even though lung cancer was the only type mentioned on all product packs. For cessation assistance such as a quit line number and/or a cessation website, image variability, plain packaging (absence of flashy logos or any labelling that promotes tobacco products by false, misleading and messages) and image manipulation, compliance was 0% across all cigarette packs.

The image used by all the cigarette packs was the same type (lung cancer) and the picture was dull, did not contrast well with the background and with that of a normal lung. The overall compliance with graphic image size was 80%, and compliance ranged from 60% for sterling cigarettes to 100% for Benson and Hedges, Dunhill and Oris; while for text size, the overall compliance was 85.6% and compliance in individual products ranged from 70% for selected premium tobacco to 100% for Benson and Hedges, Royal standard, ESSE, Dorchester, Aspen, Dunhill, Sterling and Marlboro.
Table 1. Health warning label compliance by indicators and by specific cigarettes, 2023.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tobacco Product</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Compliance with all 9 indicators</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>PHWL Size</th>
<th>Graphic Image Size</th>
<th>Text size</th>
<th>Cessation Assistance</th>
<th>Text Variability</th>
<th>Image Variability</th>
<th>Plain package</th>
<th>Image Manipulation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Benson/Hedges</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>55.5%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pall Mall</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>50.5%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESSE</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>52.2%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rothmans</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>52.2%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIME</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>52.2%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia Blend</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>52.2%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorchester</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>52.2%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspen</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>52.2%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dunhill</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>55.5%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sterling</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>48.8%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marlboro</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>52.2%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>London</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>48.8%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chesterfield</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>48.8%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compact Black</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>50.5%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selected Premium Tobacco</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>45.5%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bohem</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>50.5%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Royal Standard</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>52.2%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bohem Green</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>52.2%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St Moritz by Dunhill</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>45.5%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>48.8%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Stars</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>48.8%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oris</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>52.2%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall (all cigarettes)</td>
<td>434</td>
<td>50.3%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>86.7%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>85.6%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Out of 270 tobacco product packs assessed, 10.6% of the packs evaluated were compliant with the 9 indicators assessed (Location of PHWL, PHWL size, size of graphic image, size of textual warning, cessation assistance, variability of textual warning, image variability, plain packaging and image manipulation). These ranged from 0% for Tabaci Shisha tobacco, Majalis hookah tobacco, Double apple Shisha Tobacco, Al Ostoura Mint grapes flavor Shisha tobacco, King Song E Cigarettes and Al Ostoura Mint and Mint/Cream Shisha tobacco to 37% for Colts Pipe Mixture and Super match mixture. For specific indicators, the level of compliance for location of PHWL being placed on both the front and the back of the packs was 14.7% ranging from 0% for most of the tobacco products to 50% for Colts Pipe Mixture and Super match mixture.

For Cessation Assistance such as a quit line number and/or a cessation website, image variability, plain packaging
(absence of flashy logos or any labelling that promotes tobacco products by false, misleading and messages) and, text variability and image manipulation, compliance was 0% across all tobacco product packs. There was an overall low compliance with graphic image size (18.2%) and PHWL size (20.9%) even though the overall compliance with text size was slightly higher (35.9%).

Table 2. Health warning label compliance by indicators and by specific by tobacco products, 2023.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tobacco Product</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Compliance with all 9 indicators</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>PHWL Size</th>
<th>Graphic Image Size</th>
<th>Text size</th>
<th>Cessation Assistance</th>
<th>Text Variability</th>
<th>Image Variability</th>
<th>Plain package</th>
<th>Image Manipulation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Colts Pipe Mixture</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supermatch mixture</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al Ostoura Mint and Mint and Cream Shisha tobacco</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jewels Vanilla Flavour</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phillies Blunt Cigars</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>18.9%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>King Song E Cigarettes</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radford Snuff</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10.5%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Captain Black little Cigars</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backwoods Cigars</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rooster Snuff</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double apple Shisha Tobacco</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al Ostoura Mint grapes flavor Shisha tobacco</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tabaci Shisha tobacco</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Majalis hookah tobacco</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Captain black pipe tobacco</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>26.7%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erinmore Pipe tobacco mixture</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacob E cigarettes</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall (All tobacco products)</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>10.6%</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>18.2%</td>
<td>35.9%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Out of the 12 multinational parent companies, their subsidiaries and other product distributors assessed, the overall level of compliance among them was 24.9%. These ranged from 0% from Al Saidy Tobacco, Egypt; Rhein Tobacco International, UAE; Al Amer Tobacco Industry, Jordan; and Cedar land Trading, SAL, Dominican Republic to 55.5% for British American Tobacco, Nigeria. None of the companies provided for Cessation Assistance such as a quit line number and/or a cessation website, and none were compliant with Image Variability, Plain packaging (absence of flashy logos or any labelling that promotes tobacco products by false, misleading and messages) and Image Manipulation. The overall
level of compliance was noted to be non or very low for companies involved in the marketing of other tobacco products (shisha, snuff, cigars and e-cigarettes), ranging from 0% to 20% but moderate for those marketing cigarettes, ranging from 37% to 55.5%. Companies that had no presence or subsidiaries in Nigeria (Poschl Tabak, Germany; Black Horse Tobacco Limited; Scandinavian Tobacco Group, Dominican Republic; Cedar land Trading, SAL, Dominican Republic; Cedar land Trading, SAL, United States; Al Saidy Tobacco, Egypt; Rhein Tobacco International, UAE and Al Amer Tobacco Industry, Jordan) but only export their products here were very non-compliant.

Table 3. Health warning label compliance by indicators among multinational parent companies, their subsidiaries and other product distributors 2023.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tobacco Product</th>
<th>Compliance with all 9 indicators</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Label elements</th>
<th>Text size</th>
<th>Cessation Assistance</th>
<th>Text Variability</th>
<th>Image Variability</th>
<th>Plain package</th>
<th>Image Manipulation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Philip Morris International</td>
<td>45.5%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>British American Tobacco, Nigeria.</td>
<td>55.5%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korea Tomorrow &amp; Global Corporation</td>
<td>52.2%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Habanera Limited (Japan Tobacco International)</td>
<td>52.2%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leaf Tobacco and Commodities, Nigeria</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poschl Tabak, Germany (Black Horse Tobacco Limited)</td>
<td>10.5%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scandinavian Tobacco Group, Dominican Republic</td>
<td>26.7%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cedar land Trading, SAL, Dominican Republic</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cedar land Trading, SAL, United States.</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al Saidy Tobacco, Egypt</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhein Tobacco International, UAE</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al Amer Tobacco Industry, Jordan</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall (all tobacco companies)</td>
<td>24.9</td>
<td>37.5%</td>
<td>47.9%</td>
<td>46.7%</td>
<td>58.3%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

Almost two-thirds of NCD deaths are linked to tobacco use, alcohol misuse, unhealthy diets, and physical inactivity.\[19\] It is projected that one billion people will die from tobacco use this century \[20\] and at least half of all current tobacco users are likely to die from a tobacco related disease.\[4\] To combat this global epidemic, the MPOWER project of the World
Health Organization identified several potentially effective policy measures, including taxation of tobacco, banning advertisements, restricting smoking in public places, and supporting people who want to quit. The World Health Organization (WHO) Framework Convention on Tobacco Control (FCTC) also introduced standardized or plain packaging to restrict or prohibit the use of logos, colours, brand images, or promotional information on packaging of tobacco products but to include pictorial health warning labels on cigarette packages. The FCTC, which has been ratified by 181 countries, provides guidelines for member states regulating the sale, production, distribution, marketing and taxation of tobacco. Packaging is a marketing and communication tool that is tangible, mobile and ubiquitous which can drastically influence smokers' taste perception and other characteristics of the cigarettes. A narrative review by Hammond suggested that cigarette pack warnings can be effective in promoting smoking cessation, especially when warnings are large, full-colour, and use graphic images. However, no single study has assessed compliance with PHWL requirements in Nigeria.

Out of 434 cigarette packs assessed in this study, 50.3% of the packs evaluated were compliant with the nine indicators assessed. These ranged from 48.8% for All Stars and Target cigarette to 55.5% for Benson and Hedges. At present, cigarette packages in the vast majority of countries carry a health warning; however, the position, size and general strength of these warnings vary considerably across jurisdictions. Studies that have assessed compliance with other MPOWER initiatives such as smoke-free policies, and tobacco advertising, promotion and sponsorship bans or restrictions have provided evidence regarding loopholes in the law that tobacco companies have exploited, which need to be identified and addressed to improve enforcement efforts. Tobacco packages provide high reach and frequency of exposure and smokers are potentially exposed to the warnings over 7000 times per year while also having opportunity to communicate with smokers during the act of smoking. Tobacco packs also serve as portable advertisements with high levels of exposure among non-smokers: unlike many other consumer products, cigarette packs are displayed each time the product is used and are often left in public view between uses. Tobacco packages are also prominent in retail outlets, where product displays are common and typically increase in prominence as other forms of tobacco marketing are restricted.

For specific indicators, the level of compliance for location of PHWL being placed on both the front and the back of the packs and for text variability was 100% across all cigarette packs even though lung cancer was the only type of health warning mentioned on all packs. There was no evidence of rotation of the health warnings. The overall compliance with graphic image size was 80%, and compliance ranged from 60% for Sterling cigarettes to 100% for Benson and Hedges, Dunhill and Oris; while for text size, the overall compliance was 85.6% and compliance in individual products ranged from 70% for selected premium tobacco to 100% for Benson and Hedges among others. There is great variation in tobacco packaging and labeling requirements by country. The PHWL has been mandated in Nigeria and the Nigerian Industrial Standard for Tobacco and Tobacco Products and the National Tobacco Control Regulations, 2019 stipulates that the combined picture and text health warnings must cover 50% of the front and back of the cigarettes and smokeless tobacco product packages. Compared to text-only warnings, pictorial warnings are more likely to be noticed and are more effective in educating the public about the dangers of smoking and increase intentions to quit. Tobacco packaging featuring graphic health warnings is an effective way to spread information about
the risks of smoking. These warnings are easy to notice due to their prominent placement on the packages. Furthermore, they cost very little to implement and reach a wider audience than other methods of informing people about the risks of smoking. By keeping these messages visible on the packaging, tobacco users are more likely to pay attention to the health warnings and understand the risks associated with smoking.[38]

For cessation assistance such as a quit line number and/or a cessation website, none of the cigarette packs obtained displayed any form of information. Even though this is not presently mandated in Nigeria it was necessary to document this FTCT requirement because the communication of the health risks associated with smoking and promoting smoking cessation is a primary objective of tobacco-control policy and programs. The World Health Organization’s Framework Convention on Tobacco Control (WHO FCTC) includes two articles dedicated to health communication.[39] Experimental research on cigarette pack warnings indicates that pictorial warnings are more likely to be rated as effective, both as a deterrent for new smokers and a means to increase cessation among current smokers. [40] Pictorial warnings in at least 30 countries include information about cessation resources, such as a quit line number and/or a cessation website. By going beyond providing information about smoking related risks, these warnings not only serve as cues for thinking about quitting, but also offer resources for help with making the next steps towards cessation. Previous studies have found that provision of cessation resources in warnings can be effective in informing smokers about their existence [41] and promoting their use, thus a revision of the existing laws in Nigeria is necessary to include it. [42][43][44][45]

With regards to image variability, absence of flashy logos or any labelling that promotes tobacco products by false, misleading and messages and image manipulation, compliance was 0% across for cigarette packs obtained. These findings are similar to those from our earlier study of 12 countries in Asia, Latin America, Europe and Australasia which noted adequate compliance with health warning labelling, but weak national policies and compliance on deceptive labelling.[46] Tobacco packaging is a potent marketing tool and the tobacco industry’s manipulative marketing tactics increase the consumption of these products, leading to an increase in death and disease across the world. [47] Along with the use of color, font, pictures, and unique pack shapes, advances in printing technology have enabled printing of on-pack imagery on the inner frame card, outer film and tear tape, and the incorporation of holograms, collectable art, metallic finishes, multi-fold stickers photographs and images in pack design.[47] Pack design and colour are used to manipulate people’s perception of the level of harm and increase the products’ appeal, especially among the young, including young women.[48][49] As plain/standardized packaging has been found to be effective in reducing the appeal of cigarettes/smoking in many countries across the world, more countries have passed plain packaging laws despite the tobacco industry’s resistance.[50][51] It is thus necessary the for Nigerian laws to be updated and for tobacco companies in Nigeria to be mandated to comply.

Furthermore, all the images used for all the cigarette packs were the same picture of lung cancer which was dull, cropped and did not contrast well with the background and with that of the normal lung used for comparison. Evidence shows that tobacco companies have found ways to evade tobacco control regulations.[52][53] The tobacco industry has undermined these warnings in many ways, including the use of vague warnings and weak messages, reduction in the size, prominence and visibility of PHWL through the choice of colours and package location and deficient print quality for colour images. They also use warnings that stretch over beveled edges and novel package formats which impair
Noncompliance with PHWL best practices can result in poorer knowledge about the dangers of tobacco use, a reduction in quitting behaviors, and an increase in smoking initiation. Given that compliance is key to achieving the ultimate health goals of policy interventions, it is necessary that these lapses are sternly communicated to these companies and appropriate deterrents imposed on persistent defaulters.

The level of compliance with regulations for other tobacco products like shisha, cigars, pipe mixture and E cigarettes was however abysmally low, even though the same plain packaging regulations in Nigeria apply to them. Out of 270 tobacco product packs assessed, only 10.6% of the packs evaluated were compliant with the 9 indicators assessed. These ranged from 0% for Tabaci Shisha tobacco, Majalis hookah tobacco, Double apple Shisha Tobacco, Al Ostoura Mint grapes flavor Shisha tobacco, King Song E Cigarettes and Al Ostoura Mint and Mint/Cream Shisha tobacco to 37% for Colts Pipe Mixture and Super match mixture. For specific indicators, the level of compliance for location of PHWL being placed on both the front and the back of the packs was 14.7% ranging from 0% for most of the tobacco products to 50% for Colts Pipe Mixture and Super match mixture. Globally, Israel is the only country in the world to pass a bill requiring plain packaging for e-cigarettes and this has also been adopted by some provinces in Canada. For cessation assistance such as a quit line number and/or a cessation website, image variability, plain packaging (absence of flashy logos or any labelling that promotes tobacco products by false, misleading and messages), text variability and image manipulation, compliance was 0% across all tobacco product packs. There was an overall low compliance with graphic image size (18.2%) and PHWL size (20.9%) even though the overall compliance with text size was slightly higher (35.9%). These findings are disheartening, and show that even though there is relative compliance with PHWL on cigarette packs, other tobacco products which are presently consumed with a higher frequency in Nigeria have little or no compliance with the country's regulations.

Out of the 12 multinational parent companies, their subsidiaries and other product distributors assessed, the overall level of compliance among them was 24.9%. These ranged from 0% from Al Saidy Tobacco, Egypt; Rhein Tobacco International, UAE; Al Amer Tobacco Industry, Jordan; and Cedar land Trading, SAL, Dominican Republic to 55.5% for British American Tobacco, Nigeria. None of the companies provided for cessation assistance such as a quit line number and/or a cessation website, and none were compliant with image variability, plain packaging (absence of flashy logos or any labelling that promotes tobacco products by false, misleading and messages) and image manipulation. The overall level of compliance was noted to be none or very low for companies involved in the marketing of other tobacco products (shisha, snuff, cigars and e cigarettes), ranging from 0% to 20%; but moderate for those marketing cigarettes, ranging from 37% to 55.5%. Companies that had no presence or subsidiaries in Nigeria (Poschl Tabak, Germany; Black Horse Tobacco Limited; Scandinavian Tobacco Group, Dominican Republic; Cedar land Trading, SAL, Dominican Republic; Cedar land Trading, SAL, United States; Al Saidy Tobacco, Egypt; Rhein Tobacco International, UAE and Al Amer Tobacco Industry, Jordan) but only export their products into the Country were very non-compliant. Through the activities of these non-compliant companies and weak regulatory oversight of relevant authorities, Nigerian smokers and those exposed to secondhand smoke are undergoing a slow but sure death.

There is need to revise existing tobacco control laws in Nigeria to make them to incorporate all the relevant sections of the
FCTC like providing cessation assistance such as a quit line number and/or a cessation website on the packs and also to amend some sections to close existing loopholes existing in the laws. This study also highlights lack of enforcement by regulatory authorities on standard sizes, picture quality, text size and variability of PHWL on cigarette packs nut especially on other tobacco products. The study also highlights the need of regulatory authorities to focus more on importers of foreign products who are flagrantly ignoring the guidelines rather than on only local manufacturers and multinationals.

This study however has some limitations. Firstly, we used neatly discarded packs, and the sample of packets was not weighted to account for prevalence of use of each brand variant, rather than purchasing new unopened tobacco products based on documented patterns of consumption. Even though this approach is valid, it may however have omitted some products that were less/more compliant but were not in high demand in those locations. Secondly, the findings of the study may not be generalizable to the whole of Nigeria since it was conducted in Lagos state. Lagos is however the most cosmopolitan and populous state in the country. A key strength of the study however is the high number of assorted packs collected by multistage sampling from densely populated rural and urban regions of the state. Future research can build on these preliminary findings using designs that involve the whole country using multiple pack collection techniques.

Conclusions

The results from this study shows that there was low compliance by tobacco companies on PHWLs and negligible compliance on other tobacco products like cigars, shisha and smokeless tobacco. Out of 434 cigarette packs assessed in this study, 50.3% of the packs evaluated were compliant with the nine indicators assessed. Out of 270 tobacco product packs assessed, only 10.6% of the packs evaluated were compliant with the 9 indicators assessed. Out of the 12 multinational parent companies, their subsidiaries and other product distributors assessed, the overall level of compliance among them was 24.9%. As an immediate measure, governments should strictly enforce all regulations applying to health warnings, especially on non-cigarette tobacco products and closely monitor companies that merely export their products to the country. It is also recommended that tobacco companies be mandated to increase the size of health warnings, the number of images and the frequency of the rotation of the images. There is need to revise existing tobacco control laws in Nigeria to accommodate plain packaging regulations and to incorporate all the relevant sections of the FCTC like providing cessation assistance such as a quit line number and/or a cessation website on the packs and also to amend some sections to close existing loopholes existing in the laws.

Appendix

Assortment of Some Cigarette and Tobacco Product Packs Assessed in the Study
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