

Review of: "Digital Identity and Promotion of Research Works - Case Study of Social Science Researchers at Taiwanese Institutions"

Saima Pandhiani¹

¹ University of Sindh

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Review

In the abstract the importance of this sounds ambiguous, instead one could write identity or whatever exactly it refers to . I think if the introduction starts from second paragraph since the emergence of it would sound more powerful .

The current trend in the scientific field, which aims to open up free access to scientific research outputs, has focused the attention of the scientific community on how to use digital identity as a means of promoting and valuing scientific content, and this is what prompted us to conduct this study, which aims to shed light on the digital identity of social sciences scholars at Taiwanese universities and the mechanisms of building it in the digital space..... its too bog to be put into one sentence . perhaps the writers can separate the rational in a different sentence .

The descriptive-analytical method will be used to address the following problems: What are

You need to start from the next line ...

I don't quite understand how the data ill be collected, from how many people etc.?

Please check referencing style (Golbeck, 2011) & (Martin & Barter, 2018).

While rereferring to an author one needs to mention their name again instead of she.

(profile, procedures, data) seems like most important point in the lit review if it can be supported by data. it will make a genuine contribution of the authors.

some studies indicate.... Please mention which studies.

Table No.2 shows the indicators that determine the types of researchers' identities in the spaces of study. It should be replaced. may be academic spaces

On the whole , the paper is a good effort ,with suitable changes it can make a genuine contribution to existing research.

Please check grammar

Check punctuation, its confusing

Too long sentences are confusing and make little sense.

Regarding the digital identity of social science researchers at Taiwanese universities, in order to access the nature of researchers' identity in the digital spaces previously referred to, it was necessary to know the extent of exploitation of the sample's presence and to identify their research outputs. Such sentence structures need to be replaced .

Instead of personal pronouns like We , the researchers should be used .

Conclusion shouldn't be in bullet points .I think some findings in bullet points , followed y discussion of how they address the hypotheses might work .

Were the hypotheses confirmed or nullified ? I cant understand that from the findings .