

Review of: "A Study on Consumers' Perception of Food Delivery Platforms"

Ayat Mohammad¹

1 The World Islamic Sciences & Education University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The abstract must be organized, and you must include the necessary details to make it understandable and comprehensive.

The researcher used few references, but he could have used more. Additionally, the diction is weak and requires extensive revision.

The researcher's introduction is robust and flawlessly clarifies the subject.

The study problem is unclear; therefore, the researcher must examine the issue in depth using current references.

The researcher wrote the study methodology in a concise and useful manner, but I suggest that the sample selection and population definition should be described in greater detail. In addition to counting the population, the sample size and the final sample size must be determined.

In addition, the researcher must describe the statistical methodologies used to obtain the results and the rationale behind their selection.

The researcher did not present and compare results with previous theoretical literature when discussing the findings. It was suggested that the researcher debate and elaborate on the results based on the knowledge he gained from theoretical literature and field experience while collecting data from the study population and then comparing them to previous theoretical literature.

I recommend that the researcher revise his citations, particularly given the abundance of new research on topics related to this study's variables.

Qeios ID: TL9NR3 · https://doi.org/10.32388/TL9NR3