Review of: "Assessment of soil erosion in the Cesar watershed, an initial step toward the restoration of the Cesar River"

Qingling Bao[1]

1 Xinjiang University

The current presentation, while informative, lacks a comprehensive and quantitative synthesis of the main findings. Here are some specific suggestions for improvement:

1 **Quantitative Analysis**: The section could benefit from a more detailed quantitative analysis. For example, it mentions erosion rates above 25 (t/h/y) but does not provide a comparative context or statistical analysis. The inclusion of more specific data, such as mean values, standard deviations, or trends over time, would enhance the robustness of the findings.

2 **Comparative Context**: The results should be placed in the context of existing literature. How do these erosion rates compare with those in similar studies or regions? This comparison would provide a clearer understanding of the significance of the findings. 3 **Discussion of Methodological Limitations**: The results section should briefly acknowledge any limitations of the methods used. This could include the spatial or temporal resolution of the data, or limitations in the Getis-Ord method itself.

4 **Clearer Link to Anthropogenic Factors**: While the paper mentions anthropogenic activities, there's a need for a more explicit connection between these activities and the observed erosion rates. This could include discussing how specific human activities have influenced the spatial distribution and intensity of erosion.

5 **Future Research Directions**: While this might be more appropriate for the discussion section, suggesting areas for future research based on the findings can add depth to the results presented.