

Review of: "SUDA energy autárkeia system"

Hocine Guentri¹

1 Centre Universitaire de Mila

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Remark 1. In the abstract, the authors greatly expanded the presentation of the results. It would have been better to present why they conducted the study, what problem they studied, and why their work is significant. These will help to attract the attention of the readers and make them understand.

Remark 2. There is no introduction for this paper. The authors should detail their specific research problem and provide background or summarize existing research, highlighting the interest of this paper and presenting the used method briefly. Also, give an overview of the paper's structure.

Remark 3. There is no conclusion for this paper.

For me, this paper must be rejected because it does not contain any of the components of a typical article. It does not contain an abstract, introduction, or conclusion.

Qeios ID: TQHLZQ · https://doi.org/10.32388/TQHLZQ