

Review of: "Why are there different versions of the COM-B model diagram?"

Samwel Alananga Sanga¹

1 Ardhi University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The article is very instructive with a clear purpose and well thought logical flow of ideas. the COM-B framework can be regarded as a summary of behavioral attribute that principally shape mankind, though extension to organisational behavior is possible. looking at the different modelling strategies, the authour expounds the intrinsic value of the model and conloude that for most of instances bahaviour can be modelled in a rather simple structure comprising of unidirectional influences from bahaviour to its determinants. the determinants howeverwork only to influence the motivation attributes rather that the other way around. While the authors acknowledges the usefulness of the more complex modelling strategies, they seem to consider them as the exceptional rather than the rule. My personal opinion is that as the COM-B model evolve, the need to to validate the different forms that it can take become even more important. Behavior do change and tend to be context specific, what is not of importance in one context could be so important in the other. What is also important in this case is to be specific on the context over which COM-B diagram can be varied.

Qeios ID: TRHRMI · https://doi.org/10.32388/TRHRMI