Review of: "Sentiment Analysis of Opinions about Online Education in the Kurdistan Region of Iraq during COVID-19"

Niel Francis B. Casillano

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The paper focused on harnessing tweets and using 4 ML methods to evaluate its accuracy. The following are the comments based on review of the article:

1. The process of determining the accuracy is not mentioned in the methods section. (Although it should be noted that it has already been updated in the new version of the paper.)
2. It is suggested that the numeric equivalent of each polarity be determined and also the overall polarity of all tweets, for the researcher to determine whether the tweets are leaning positive of negative.
3. It would easier for readers if the precision, recall and f1 be presented in one table to see what model produces the best accuracy.
4. The methods should include how teh researcher quantified the training and test dataset.
5. Overall the research is commendable. Congratulations.