

# Review of: "Quality improvement and research differences: A guide for DNP and PhD faculty"

Noriyuki Kawabata

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Dear authors,

Thank you for opportunity for reviewing this article. The study provides great values to our research practice because many researchers are unaware of distinction between a quality improvement study and human subjects research. I have encountered that reviewers were required to verify external validity, generalization of the results, in our article regarding quality improvement. I hope to widespread the concept explained in the article.

Anyhow, this study meticulously reviewed quality improvement and human subjects research and I think there were little to revise. However, very minor suggestions are here.

1. What meant n.d. page2, line 5.
2. Please consider copyediting. There were some uncommon words and erratum, missing period, in the fourth paragraph on page 12.