

Review of: "Sectoral GDP and Tax Revenue: a Panel Data Analysis"

Lingli Qing

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

1. The abstract lacks details on the methodology employed for the analysis.
2. The introduction is very weak. The authors, without linking the existing literature together to present the research gap, fail to establish a strong foundation for their work.
3. The manuscript falls short in terms of theoretical development.
4. In sections 3, *Analysis*, the authors seem to "only report the results." I suggest that the authors use the viewpoints of several representative studies (2 or 3) in this area to interpret and enrich the results.
5. And the discussion? The authors should be the discussions of the obtained results (author's original thoughts) in the light of the previous research.
6. The authors should add theoretical and practical contributions in the conclusion.
7. The references cited are too few in number, and the existing literature is not adequately referenced.