

Review of: "Overcoming Barriers: Enhancing Women's Access to Financial Services for Agribusiness in Uganda – An Empirical Review"

Mufaro Dzingirai¹

¹ Midlands State University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Although the study was trying to capture an interesting topic in Uganda, this paper lacks contribution to knowledge.

Abstract

This section is very weak because it does not capture the research design, data collection technique(s), data analysis tool(s), and the recommendations.

Introduction

It is full of sweeping statements. Surprisingly, there are no knowledge gaps. This implies that there is no contribution to knowledge.

Literature Review

There is no conceptualization of the main concept. Worryingly, the literature review section is very shallow as it lacks a theoretical framework. To make matters worse, the literature review is just descriptive in nature, which substantiates the lack of rigor since there is no critical discussion of the extant literature.

Research Methodology

This section is the weakest link in this paper because of the following methodological issues of great concern:

- Lack of research philosophy and design.
- No validity and reliability issues reported in this section.
- Lack of information concerning how bias was eliminated in this study.
- Data analysis tool is not reported.

NB. By reading this methodology section, the reader could wonder if this is an empirical study or a literature review paper.

Results

This section is not clearly presented. If this is a review article, please read about the PRISMA methodology. PRISMA is an evidence-based minimum set of items for reporting in systematic reviews and meta-analyses.



Discussion

This section must capture a discussion, not a summary of ideas.

General Comments

The implication of the study is not clearly captured in this paper.