

Review of: "Understanding Theosemiotic: Concept and its Position"

Narjes Monfared¹

¹ Alzahra University

Potential competing interests: No competing interest

I would like to express my gratitude for the author's valuable contribution to the field. The article presents a fresh perspective on the topic at hand. However, I believe there are some suggestions that could enhance the overall clarity and specificity of the article.

Firstly, I suggest modifying the title to better match the article's content. The current title is too general and should be tailored more specifically to the topic, considering the Theosemiotics within Islamic and Christian contexts.

Additionally, I recommend using "Semiosis" instead of "Semiotic theories" as a keyword. Therefore, it is important to discuss briefly Peirce's view on semiosis in the context of semiotic theory. Accordingly, a more precise exploration of semiosis from Peirce's (1977) point of view would be beneficial. Semiosis, or making meaning from Peirce's (1977) definition, is "Something which stands to somebody for something in some aspect or capacity" (Peirce, 1977, p. 228).

Peirce, Charles. S. 1977. *Semiotics and Signifies*. Bloomington I.N.: Indiana University Press.

Furthermore, the article's organization requires updating. I believe that adding more specific sub-sections would enhance the presentation of the topic in a more logical and engaging manner. Using broad headings can be uninteresting for readers and overwhelming for the writer with an excess of information.

Moreover, I suggest utilizing objective evidence to highlight the distinctions between Muslim and Christian societies. By providing tangible examples, readers will have a clearer understanding of these differences. Therefore, it is better to clarify some concepts such as "Fiqh", "Taqdīr," and explain some principles of faith (aqīdah) and Islamic law (sharīa) as examples that are especially important in Islam rather than Christian.

Furthermore, the article could benefit from providing concise explanations of the research problem, methodology, and conclusions to enhance its scientific credibility.

In addition, you can provide suggestions on how to enrich Theosemiotics by incorporating perspectives from mysticism and Islamic wisdom, including natural philosophers, Masha, Ishraq, transcendental wisdom, Nusadarai, and contemporary thinkers. Particularly, insights from previous and contemporary Eastern philosophers such as Imam Muhammad Ghazali, Abu Rihan Biruni, Farabi, Suhrvardi, Allameh Tabatabai, and others would be valuable in this regard.

Overall, incorporating these suggestions will strengthen the article and enhance its impact within the academic community.

