

# Review of: "Antimicrobial Sensitivity of Plant Extracts of Acacia arabica, Prosopis juliflora, Abutilon indicum, and Bryonia laciniosa on Staphylococcus aureus, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, and Escherichia coli"

Nitika Sharma

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

**Overall Remark:** The manuscript needs overhauling and major modifications. Authors failed to address the problem, explain the material merthods and express the results clearly. No novelty in the work was not depicted.

## Section wise review

Title

As only the antibacterial potential was asssessed, replace the word "antimicrobial" with "antibacterial" in the title.

### Abstract

- a. Abstract is vague and lacks vital informations. Re-write the abstract and include the following information:
- b. Mention why these plants were chosen OR what was the basis of selection of these plants? Mentions the part of the plants used in the abstract
- c. State the methods used clearly.
- d. Depict your results clearly the implication of the findings.

# Introduction

Introduction is vague and does not match with the title of the manuscript. Introduction section needs revision. Stick to the topic of the manuscript. No need to mention about diabetes, rheumatoid arthritis, cancer etc and other irrelevant topics in the introduction. Reduce the introduction section. Do not discuss results in the Intoduction section. Previous reports/irrelevant reports should not be mentioned.

# Materials and methods

Poorly written, vague and lacks clarity. Re-write the section in a scientific manner. Write the location from where the plants were collected. Mention whether these plants were authenticated by any botanist or not?

## Results

The results are not clear and precise. Presnt the results and interpretations in a scientific and systematic manner.



# Discussion

Discussion is also vague. Rewrite the section and explain the relevance of this study with clarity.

Conclusion

Conclusions must be consise and should address the objectives of the study.

Reply