

# Review of: "Winner-takes-all Majoritarian System and Irregularities in Six Election Cycles in Nigeria, 1999 – 2019"

Al Chukwuma Okoli

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

1. The problematique engaged by the authors of the paper is relevant and topical.
2. The authors made good the objective(s) of the paper to a reasonable extent.
3. However, a few issues is to be noted and addressed in order to further improve the substance of the paper.
4. The **Abstract** of the paper should be framed in simple present tense in order to reflect epistemic perpetuity.
5. The **Abstract**, meanwhile, needs to be made a bit more concise and lucid.
6. The central argument of the paper needs to be clearly stated and situated in the **Introduction**.
7. There is a need for a **theoretical framework** to systematize the analysis and put it in proper perspective.
8. The segment titled '**Empirical Verification and Discussion**' is redundant in its present context. It could be taken to the section that comes next to it as a preamble.
9. The paper fails to engage enough of the prevailing debates/ narratives on the subject area in order to legitimately foreground the epistemic gap(s) thereof.
10. Concepts such as '**Third World**' may be substituted for '**Developing Countries**' in the light of its apparent infashionability in contemporary Social Science lexicon.
11. There is an assertion that must be corrected: 'What constitutes electoral irregularities depends on **theoretical** ....' . I think that should be **ideological**...
12. How would the alternative electoral system being proposed change the game?
13. Recommendations must be framed as actionable measures. So, it doesn't suffice to state the 'what'; the 'how' is paramount.
14. Thank you!