Peer Review

Review of: "When Ambition Overshadows Patients: Reflections on Three Pharmaceutical Leadership Personas and the Role of Integrity"

Majdi Anwar Quttainah¹

1. Management & Marketing, Kuwait University, Kuwait City, Kuwait

The book is a well-reasoned and thought-provoking commentary presenting a critical evaluation of leadership characters in the pharma industry. The following is a comprehensive analysis of the work along various dimensions:

1. Content Quality

The commentary is rich in content, combining theories of leadership, real-world industry experience, and academic research to present a well-rounded perspective of leadership characters. The application of the "patient score" metaphor is an innovative effort to connect leadership behavior with patient outcomes and emphasize the ethical responsibilities of leaders in the pharmaceutical industry. The deconstruction of the three personas—Directive Strategist, Enforcer, and Corporate Diplomat—is now complete, highlighting their strengths and limitations and the organizational culture and patient-orientation influence they exert. The book addresses systemic issues such as ambition, psychological safety, and degradation of integrity, and these are extremely important in the drug sector.

However, there is a lack of empirical data or case studies to substantiate some of the claims, such as favoritism or turnover rates. The arguments are compelling, but stronger ones could be presented with example situations or facts. Certain themes, such as ambition and psychological safety, are repeated across sections, and this detracts from the persuasive power of the arguments and makes the work somewhat redundant.

2. Structure and Organization

The work is well-organized with clear-cut sections for each leadership persona, cross-persona reflections, integrity, and patient-centered outcomes. The coherent sequence of ideas makes it easy to follow the transition from individual personas to organizational implications. The inclusion of cross-persona observations and practical suggestions enriches and makes the analysis more practically applicable.

Although the organization is excellent, repetition of some points in other sections can be avoided to minimize duplication and improve readability.

3. Use of References

The commentary is well-referenced, using a wide range of scholarly sources to establish its arguments. This adds credibility and academic weight to the work.

The use of theories such as Jungian persona, impression management, and toxic leadership adds intellectual depth and gravity to the analysis.

Nonetheless, heavy referencing makes the work less accessible to non-specialist readers who need to understand academic language and terminology.

4. Practical Implications

The commentary provides practical recommendations for pharmaceutical organizations, such as increasing complementarity in teams, emphasizing real integrity, and evaluating leaders on patient-centered metrics. The focus on balancing ambition with empathy and cooperation is a good trend in addressing leadership challenges in the industry. While the recommendations are useful, they could be further developed with actionable strategies or examples to enable action.

5. Originality and Innovation

The use of the "patient score" metaphor is an innovative and effective way of evaluating leadership behaviors, prioritizing the ultimate purpose of patient benefit.

The commentary departs from customary leadership metrics in measuring toward a shift from egocentric visibility to patient-result measures. Even though originality in the notion of "patient score" can be heightened by the addition of more concrete examples or measurements toward operationalization.

6. Accessibility

The paper is mentally stimulating and provides helpful insights to professionals who work in the field of pharmaceuticals and leadership scholars. The vocabulary and the long references can make the book potentially less accessible to a broader readership base, such as non-specialist readers or junior practitioners.

7. Ethical Reflection

The affirmation of true integrity as opposed to "convenient integrity" is a valuable reminder of the ethical dimension of pharmaceutical leadership. The observation highlights the ethical value of leadership behavior, making the book a valuable contribution towards enhancing ethical awareness in the industry.

Overall, the book is a highly worthwhile and insightful contribution to the pharmaceutical leadership literature. It successfully combines theoretical scrutiny with pragmatic guidance, issuing a wake-up call to the industry to make patient-centered leadership at the expense of personal ambition and external visibility a priority. While the commentary could be enhanced through increased reliance on empirical evidence and concise argumentation, its wealth, novelty, and moral focus make it a deserving and impactful contribution.

- 1. Fostering Complementarity within Teams
- Approach: Apply behavior profiling tools (such as Insights Discovery, DISC, or Myers-Briggs) to create maps of members' strengths and weaknesses and reconcile RED (assertive), BLUE (detail-oriented), and GREEN (empathic) traits.
- Illustration: When assembling leadership teams, team a Directive Strategist (RED) with BLUE (accuracy and conformity) and GREEN (cooperation and harmony) partners. To illustrate, a RED leader leading aggressive strategies may be accompanied by a BLUE partner in charge of regulatory compliance and a GREEN partner who fosters collaboration.
- 2. Making Authentic Integrity a Top Priority
- •Strategy: Develop and uphold a leadership code of conduct emphasizing patient-centered decision-making, transparency, and fairness. Include accountability mechanisms, such as regular integrity audits or confidential feedback channels.
- •Example: Have a "Leadership Integrity Council" review major decisions to ascertain patient-centered values. For instance, before the introduction of a new initiative, the council would decide whether the plan is more patient benefit-oriented than visibility for individuals or organizational politics.

- 3. Evaluating Leaders Based on Patient-Centric Measures
- Strategy: Incorporate patient-centric measures into leadership performance evaluation, such as trial quality, speed of patient access to therapies, pharmacovigilance reporting, and employee retention.
- Example: A pharmaceutical company can have a "Patient Impact Dashboard" to track numbers like the number of patients affected, time-to-market on treatments, and employee engagement measures. Managers whose departments contribute to improvements in these numbers can be rewarded through bonuses or promotions.

4. Building Psychological Safety

- Strategy: Train leaders in encouraging open communication and enabling "employee voice" by creating a secure context to offer feedback and dissent. Use tools like anonymous surveys or regular town hall sessions to seek suggestions from employees.
- Example: Launch a "Speak-Up Program" where employees can raise concerns anonymously or suggest innovations. Leaders can be evaluated on their ability to follow up on these inputs and create a culture of trust.

5. Balancing Ambition with Empathy

- Strategy: Provide leadership courses with a focus on emotional intelligence, empathy, and collective decision-making. Include modules on managing ambition and avoiding the "shadow self" mentioned in the commentary.
- Example: Work with external consultants to give workshops on "Empathy in Leadership." For instance, managers could participate in role-playing scenarios in which they need to resolve conflict or make decisions that put the highest value on teams' well-being and patient outcomes.

6. Attrition and Burnout Reduction

- Strategy: Implement wellness initiatives and flexible work options to reduce burnout. Monitor employee satisfaction and turnover periodically to identify and fix leadership issues.
- Example: Introduce a "Leadership Wellness Index" that tracks burnout metrics such as overtime, absenteeism, and employee satisfaction. Leaders whose staff have high burnout can be required to undergo coaching or training.
- 7. Refocusing Priority from Public Image to Inner Strength

- Strategy: Limit the emphasis on external visibility by rewarding leaders for internal team building and creativity over public speaking or social media fame.
- Example: Replace old awards for leadership (e.g., "Most Visible Leader") with such awards as "Team Builder of the Year" or "Patient Advocate Leader," recognizing leaders for collaboration building and patient-centered goals.

8. Capability Building

- Strategy: Invest in long-term capability-building leadership development programs rather than short-term results. Add mentorship programs to help leaders build balanced personas.
- Example: Set up a "Leadership Academy" in the organization with training programs on ethical decision-making, patient-centered leadership, and team dynamics. Pair new leaders with seasoned mentors who exemplify balanced leadership practices.
- 9. Avoiding Favoritism and Bias
- Solution: Conduct periodic diversity and inclusion audits to reveal and combat unconscious bias in hiring, promotions, and team dynamics.
- Example: Utilize blind hiring practices for leadership roles, whereby applicants are considered based on experience and skills rather than influence or visibility.
- 10. Aligning Ambition with Shared Purposes
- Strategy: Encourage leaders to set ambitious goals aligned with organizational and patient-oriented purposes rather than self-advancement. Use collaborative goal-setting practices like OKRs (Objectives and Key Results).
- Example: Request leaders, in annual planning, to define their goals in terms of patient impact (e.g., "Reduce time-to-market for new treatments by 20%") rather than personal achievement (e.g., "Increase my team's visibility in industry forums").

In implementing these strategies and examples, pharmaceutical companies can bring to life the Commentary suggestions, facilitating leadership cultures centered on patient outcomes, integrity, and long-term innovation.

Declarations

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.