

Review of: "Women in Slum, Risking Their Safety to Access and Usage of Basic Sanitation Facilities-A Literature Review"

Juste Nansi

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

This article is quite interesting in view of the large amount of data it uses. It highlights the complexity of the causes and consequences of the lack of adequate access to sanitation for women.

In reading the text, there is often confusion in the semantics used to explain the facts (specific cases of women's unsafety, such as rape and various assaults), the general causes (absence of toilets in the home) and the specific causes (journeys, lighting, etc.), as well as other explanatory factors such as the absence or inadequacy of police sanctions. It would be advisable to review and harmonise the semantics to improve the comprehensibility of the analysis.

My second observation is the added value (uniqueness) of the study for science and knowledge, which should emerge from the findings and the discussion. This added value is not sufficiently perceptible and therefore requires a more in-depth analysis of the results to support more precise positions in relation to the results of previous work. This observation is also essential for the recommendation section. Most of the recommendations look generic and do not offer any real added value in relation to what already exists. A more in-depth analysis would enable the authors to formulate more specific and unique recommendations that would provide greater added value for this research.