

Review of: "Re-calling Magical Thinking: Different, yet Connected Views on Magical Thinking"

Maximiliano Korstanje¹

¹ Universidad de Palermo

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The text reads well and is coherently organized according to magical thinking. Of course, margin thinking (in the pandemic) is opposed to risk theory. I would like to hear from the contribution on Cass Sunstein on the paradoxes of risk theory as magical thinking. Secondly, during the pandemic, the rational thinking embodied by the experts or the hegemony of doctors became marginal thinking; this would be a good point to work on. See Scribano, A., Camarena Luhrs, M., & Cervio, A. L. (2021). Cities, COVID-19 and Sensibilities: A Kaleidoscope of Experiences. *Cities, Capitalism and the Politics of Sensibilities*, 235-254.-Korstanje, M. E. (2021). The dilemmas of the lockdown: A society in post COVID-19 days. *Emotionality of COVID-19. Now and after: The war against a virus* 1-10.-Rafele, A., Scribano, A., & Korstanje, M. (2022). *Global Emotion Communications: Narratives, Technology and Power*. Nova.

I am unclear about the perspectives of magical thinking in the new normal. Please expand on this.

A dialogue between Frazer and Piaget is at least necessary; what are the strengths and weaknesses of both theories?

I agree generally with the authors' argumentation, but some open questions should be formulated at the bottom of the text ... the pandemic showed how medical reasoning can be used or pressed into magical thinking too.