

Review of: "Cryptic evidence on underreporting of mRNA vaccine-induced cardiomyositis in the elderly: a need to modify antihypertensive therapy"

Gökhan Doğukan Akarsu¹

¹ Ruder Bošković Institute

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

First of all, I find it valuable because it has a different perspective.

Since the study is a case study, it is understandable that the comparison and subjective evaluation of data may be limited. however, the information provided needs further elaboration. heart rate measurement or blood pressure measurement may change under hormonal balance, diet, stress conditions. It may be good for the literature to state that there are sudden hypotensive symptoms a few months after vaccination, but it may be difficult to attribute this to the vaccine alone. The blood pressure and pulse-related disorder appeared a few months after the vaccination, he was vaccinated again during the normalization phase, and it was stated that the same ailments reappeared a few months later. Of course, these symptoms may not be expected as soon as you are vaccinated, but it is imperative to detail the discomforts that occur after a few months. In our research, the short-term effects were stated, but the long-term effects were not fully explained. It is recommended to the author that this case study be repeated at a later time to determine the long-term effects. Why was the blood pressure level determined 130/70. this needs to be explained. The gaps in genetic and demographic data make interpretation difficult. There is no discussion section. This needs to be added. for readers to compare. Since the findings were not discussed in the discussion section, sufficient evaluation could not be made.