

Review of: "Caution Signages and Their Relevance in Commuter Safety in High-Density Construction and Traffic Areas of Two Smart Cities in Odisha: A Cross-Sectional Study to Ensure Eco-Mobility"

Karine Lucrece-Marie Codjo-Seignon

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The article is interesting; it's a little-studied subject, but it needs to be improved.

1. Why did you choose these age groups? It's not necessarily obvious that 11-year-olds understand the meaning of the signs.
2. What is the target audience for your study?
3. How did you calculate your sample size? Why did you choose 240 individuals?
4. Your sampling method is unclear. How did you choose the individuals?
5. What type of questionnaire was used to collect the data? directive? semi-directive?
6. How did you obtain the consent of children under the age of 11, given that parental consent is required?
7. You talk about calculating a score for panel recognition and you have 26 panels but a total score of 28?
8. How were the times for collecting information from individuals chosen? and why?
9. Could the lack of awareness of these signs be linked to other factors, such as health problems, particularly ophthalmological?
10. Are all the signs featured in your article equally present in both cities? If not, how did you go about gathering the information?
11. What are the biases of your study?