

Review of: "The influence of perceived financial security on social intention entrepreneurs"

Chunchieh Liao¹

¹ Chinese Culture University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

In the paper titled "The influence of perceived financial security on social intention entrepreneurs", the authors should have done an amount of literature search. Overall, this study has an interesting topic and well-structured, which is praiseworthy. However, this should be a simplified version of the initial draft, overly simplified, causing difficulties in reading and understanding. There appear to be some significant issues that need additional development to make a sufficient contribution to merit publication in the Journal. The following provides some suggestions to the author/s for consideration.

1. **This paper does not have a section of "hypothesis development."**The research framework diagram also does not provide corresponding hypothesis numbers. However, the terms H1 and H2 appear in the empirical analysis, which greatly confuses the readers. The author should first propose hypotheses and inferences based on the literature, clearly numbered and corresponding exactly to the framework diagram and data analysis.
2. The author chose Tunisia (but survey samples from Bangladesh?) as the research context to explore the differences in social entrepreneurship in different socio-cultural contexts. In order to achieve this goal, it is necessary to create a separate chapter to highlight the characteristics of social entrepreneurship in Tunisia. The author has incorporated financial factors into Hockerts' model. Is this model modification based on the specific context of Tunisia?
3. The author employed multi-group analysis, considering the moderation effect of gender. This analysis seems somewhat abrupt and deviates from the main research topic.
4. The sample for this study consists of 204 individuals from Bangladesh (why not **Tunisia**). The average age of the sample is only **21 years old**. **All participants are undergraduate students**, and **87.5% are unemployed**. Whether such a sample is suitable for exploring social entrepreneurship, the author should provide convincing reasons.
5. It is recommended that the author provide the source of the questionnaire items and complete the questions for better readability.
6. In the statistical analysis section, the author did not specifically test for the mediating effects and provide a comprehensive explanation.
7. In the conclusion and policy implication section, we are particularly interested in understanding the unique social entrepreneurship characteristics demonstrated by Bangladesh (or Tunisia) after considering socio-cultural contexts, and what policy implications can be derived from them.

