

Review of: "Adoption of Technology Acceptance and Interfaces for Academic Information System Applications"

Neni Hermita¹

¹ Universitas Riau

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Thank you for giving me the chance to review this paper. Here are some suggestions I believe could help improve the quality of the article:

1. In the abstract, provide more specific details about the methodology used. For example, mention the sample size, data collection methods, and any specific statistical techniques used in the analysis.
2. Highlight the potential implications or significance of the findings in the literature.
3. What do PEOU and PU stand for? Mention them in the abstract because there will be readers who are unfamiliar with the terms.
4. Consider breaking the methodology down into smaller subsections to improve readability and clarity. Each subsection can address a specific aspect of the research methodology, such as data collection, sampling procedure, instrument development, validity and reliability testing, and data analysis techniques.
5. In the discussion part, you should discuss your findings, not repeat them. Compare what you found with the results of previous similar studies.
6. It would be beneficial to acknowledge any limitations of the study, such as sample size or potential biases, and discuss avenues for future research.