

# Review of: "Digital Mapping of Resilience and Academic Skills in the Perspective of Society 5.0 for Higher Education Level Students"

Widha Agastya

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Dear author...

this is my advice after slowly reading the article you wrote, here I give suggestions, including:

1. Title

in the title you shouldn't need to add a level, maybe it's better if "Higher Education Student"

## 2. Abstract

keywords are the words contained in this article, but in these keywords the word "student" is not discussed specifically in this article, so it should be omitted or continued as "Higher Education Student".

# 3. Introduction

please explain sequentially the concepts and reasons for taking the research theme, if the flow used is from "special-general" then it must be consistent so that readers understand your research.

the introduction explains Academic Resilience but the literature review only explains "Society 5.0; Digital Resilience; Academic Skills" and the title of the article contains only those three things. It is better if the title is adjusted to the contents of the literature review so that there is continuity between the title and the content. If you end up using the academic resilience instrument then explain after you write down the three concepts. such as the conclusion the researcher will use the instrument, and so on.

#### 4. Data Analysis

explain if the research is carried out multiyears, then include references to the explanation in this sub-chapter. briefly describe the results of the first year's research and include references.

the sentence "Reliability test using the Cronbach's Alpha statistical test with a Cronbach's Alpha value above 0.6" needs to be added to the reference source.

keep in mind, not everyone understands what you write, so clearly state the purpose of the research.



## 5. Quantitative data collection

Where is the formula taken from (give a clear reference)

#### 6. Results and Discussion

what is the reference to the cut off value listed in the output retrieved? add references used. Scientific articles need clear references.

as in the sentence "Academic Resilience Scale, of the 53 initial items compiled, 12 items were dropped (item-total correlation was not significant), leaving 41 items that fit the measurement function, with a range of item-total correlation coefficient values from 0.301 to 0.711". Where do these sentences and numbers come from, list the references used.

So many suggestions from me to improve this article, I hope it is useful for your writing.

Regards,

Widha