Review of: "Coronavirus COVID-19: A Short Review on the Psychological Effects of Coronavirus, How It Disturbs Social Life, Its Mechanism to Affect the Central Nervous System, Possible Modes of Transmission, and the Smart Lockdown Policy of Pakistan"

Sabika Munikar

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Dear authors,

I am very pleased to had an opportunity to review the article, Coronavirus COVID-19: A Short Review on the Psychological Effects of Coronavirus, How It Disturbs Social Life, Its Mechanism to Affect the Central Nervous System, Possible Modes of Transmission, and the Smart Lockdown Policy of Pakistan".

It is a commendable job that has tried to review the previously published articles related to COVID-19 and draw an inference from them. However, I personally have realized some probable shortcomings in the article presented.

1. The area related to COVID- 19 in this article is too vague thus limiting the thorough review, interpretation and drawing inferences from limited articles only on particular topic. For example: The authors could be more focused on psychological effects of smart lockdown in Pakistan only and complete a review by comparing with effects from other countries. OR the authors could have only focused on pathogenesis related to Central nervous system. OR the epidemiological triad of COVID-19.

2. Secondly, the article seems like a combination of inferences from mulitple articles only and doesnot try to infer a particular concept or finding. More detailing of findings in each section could add up to present even more concise and concrete finding rather than subtly presenting few findings only. E.g. in psychological effects, there are numerous articles published previously which could be reviewed to draw inference.

3. The article doesnot seem to be sequential. The authors may present modes of transmission first, then mechanism of effect on CNS, smart lock down in Pakistan and finally psychological effects.

4. It would be better if the authors could use any language editing services for better linguistic presentation of article.

5. Finally, the conclusion is too much generalized and vague. It would be better if it were presented in relation to Pakistan.

I hope the authors will find the comments useful.

Thank You

Sabika Munikar