

Review of: "Prevalence of Buruli Ulcer Among Residents in Jasikan Municipality: A Cross-Sectional Study"

Samiun Tune

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Prevalence of Buruli Ulcer Among Residents in Jasikan Municipality: A Cross-Sectional Study

Abstract

1. In the abstract, results should be written to summarize multiple findings, as a single line may not adequately represent the scope of the work presented in the paper.
2. Please elaborate on the conclusion as well in the abstract.

Methods

1. The 'study design' should be written at the beginning of the methods section. Subsequently, include other details such as study sites, study population, etc."
2. In the study site section, much information has been provided regarding temperature, resistance, and infrastructures and amenities. Please provide proper references.
3. Please mention what type(s) of statistical analysis were conducted in the 'Statistical Analysis' section.

Results

1. Please present the results tables using full numbers. Individuals or persons should not be displayed in a decimal format such as 30.2; instead, use percentages, like 30%. If the value is 30.5 or 30.6 or above, round it to 31.
2. Please elaborate on the findings related to Table one, such as among the age group (41-60), where the percentage of parents was higher (39%), and most of them (41%) were involved in farming.....

Discussion

1. The discussion of the study is not written well. It should compare the similarities or dissimilarities with other countries in the same or different contexts."
2. No programmatic discussion, strategic decisions, or recommendations are mentioned in the discussion part."
3. Overall, the discussion needs to be strengthened with relevant information and citations.

General Comments:

1. The formatting of the manuscript does not seem to fulfill journal criteria; it appears more like a report.
2. Referencing format should be checked according to the journal's guidelines.
3. Additionally, the line numbering and table formatting seem to be copied from a report and do not meet journal criteria.