

Commentary

Narrative Medicine: A Way to Care More Than Cure

Bijoy Kumer Paul¹, Md Maruf Haque Khan^{2,3}, Tanjela Bushra², Md Atiqul Haque²

1. Medical Education Division, Department of Public Health and Informatics, Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University, Dhaka, Bangladesh; 2. Department of Public Health and Informatics, Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University, Dhaka, Bangladesh; 3. NCD centre, Department of Public Health and Informatics, Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University, Dhaka, Bangladesh

Modern medicine excels at detecting disease but often overlooks the lived experience of illness.

Narrative medicine, the disciplined practice of recognizing, absorbing, interpreting, and acting on patients' stories, offers a corrective. This opinion piece argues that narrative medicine is not a luxury reserved for well-resourced health systems but a practical, evidence-informed approach that sharpens diagnosis, strengthens therapeutic relationships, protects clinicians against burnout, and improves patient outcomes. Drawing on the cultural realities of Bangladesh, where illness narratives are shaped by family dynamics, spirituality, stigma, and economic precarity, we contend that attentive listening is especially valuable in high-volume, resource-constrained settings where the patient's story may be the most accessible diagnostic resource. We examine narrative medicine's core principles, its cultural relevance, implementation challenges, and the opportunities and risks posed by digital tools, and we propose feasible pathways for integrating narrative practice into Bangladeshi medical education and clinical care.

Corresponding author: M Atiqul Haque, atiqulm26@bsmmu.edu.bd

Introduction

Modern medicine has mastered the science of detecting disease. We can detect a clot in minutes, assess small changes in inflammation, or sequence a genome overnight. But when it comes to feelings, fears, and lived experiences of illness and health, health systems often fall short. Fear, memory, metaphor, or silence in a patient's speech often fail to find space in routine clinical care. Narrative medicine addresses

this gap^{[1][2]}. It reminds us that healing is more than medicine and investigations; it is about listening to the human story underlying the sickness^[3].

Narrative medicine is a formal discipline, coined and developed by Rita Charon at Columbia University in the early 2000s, that prepares clinicians to recognize, absorb, interpret, and act on the stories that patients and their attendants bring to the therapeutic interaction^{[4][5]}. It builds abilities that complement biomedical expertise using literary theory, careful reading, and reflective writing. Over the past two decades, it has evolved from curiosity in academia to a component of the undergraduate and postgraduate medical curriculum in North America, Europe and increasingly in Asia^{[5][6]}.

This opinion piece argues that narrative medicine is neither a luxury nor a soft supplement to clinical science. It is a practical, evidence-based approach that enhances diagnosis, improves the therapeutic relationship, lowers clinician burnout, and improves better patient outcomes, even in resource-limited countries such as Bangladesh. We examine its conceptual bases, clinical applications, cultural relevance, implementation challenges, and the emerging role of digital tools in expanding its reach.

The Story as Clinical Data

No patient comes to a physician's consultation as an empty page. Their sickness is covered in a life that is filled with relationships, identities, and histories long pre-dating any clinical interaction. A diagnosis of hypertension may sit atop years of caregiving stress. Poor glycaemic control may hide a home environment where regular meals are impossible.

A woman's infertility is not only a hormonal disorder, but it is a condition shaped by social pressure, stigma, feelings of unworthiness, and quiet grief. Such complexities cannot be reduced to biomedical thinking alone. Narrative medicine considers the lived experience of sickness and enables clinicians to understand what laboratory values cannot show^[5].

Stories are a form of clinical data told in a different language. When a man describes his chest pain feels like "a burning house inside me," he communicates the severity and existential threat of his symptoms far beyond what a numeric pain scale captures. A teenager with asthma who "cannot breathe when my father shouts" reveals triggers more therapeutically relevant than peak flow readings alone^{[5][7]}. These stories do not replace science but rather provide context. They disclose what matters to patients, what they fear, and what healing means for them^{[8][2]}.

The concept of “illness scripts” in cognitive medicine aligns with this understanding: experienced clinicians draw not only on epidemiological patterns but on accumulated narratives of how diseases manifest in particular human lives^[3]. Narrative competence, the ability to recognise and respond to these scripts, is therefore not incidental to clinical excellence; it is constitutive of it.

Narrative Medicine in Practice: Core Principles

Narrative medicine is based on four connected principles in clinical practice: attentive listening, representation, affiliation and reflection^{[1][3]}.

Attentive Listening

Listening without rushing. When patients feel safe and heard, they are more likely to disclose diagnostically important information. One open-ended question: “What worries you the most about this illness?”—can reveal hidden concerns, correct faulty clinical assumptions, and redirect the consultation more efficiently than a rapid-fire symptom checklist^[8]. Such careful listening requires little additional time, and evidence suggests it reduces unnecessary investigations and diagnostic errors^[1].

Representation

Noticing the metaphors, images, and silences patients use. Because illness experiences are often difficult to describe precisely, patients frequently rely on metaphors and symbolic language^[7]. The clinician who notices that a patient consistently uses passive constructions, “it happened to me,” “I was told”, may be dealing with a person who feels entirely without agency in their care. Attention to such verbal choices can provide valuable insights that inform diagnosis and therapeutic decision-making.

Affiliation

Seeing patients as partners rather than cases. Incorporating patients’ stories into the consultation facilitates communication, helps to understand treatment decisions, and strengthens therapeutic partnerships^[1]. Affiliation does not require lengthy consultations; rather, it requires intentional presence, respect, and genuine engagement with the patient’s perspective.

Reflection

Writing about challenging clinical encounters, or discussing them with colleagues, is not a luxury, but a professional discipline that sharpens clinical thought, minimizes risk of burnout, and sustains moral imagination^[9]. Reflective writing, literary reading, and discussions of challenging clinical encounters help clinicians tolerate uncertainty and complexity, enabling them to engage more thoughtfully with the human dimensions of care.

Empathy as Clinical Competence, Not Optional Soft Skill

At a deeper level, narrative medicine challenges a long-standing premise in medical education: that empathy is an optional, teachable-if-time-permits attribute. In reality, empathy is a clinical competence with measurable outcomes. Empathic communication enables clinicians to better identify underlying symptoms, navigate complex treatment decisions with patients more effectively, and more readily recognise emotional discomfort earlier in its course^[10]. When patients believe they are really being heard they are more inclined to provide sensitive information, to trust clinical advice, to continue therapy and to return for follow-up. No diagnostic technology reproduces that dynamic^[3].

Medical education has not always treated empathy accordingly. A persistent gap exists between the compassion modelled in early training and the culture of clinical detachment that students often encounter in wards and outpatient departments^[10]. Narrative medicine offers a structured pedagogical response to this gap: thorough reading of literary and patient texts, reflective writing exercises, and supervised small-group discussion can sustain and develop empathetic orientation throughout the years of clinical training and beyond.

Cultural Context: Reading the Story within the Story

The illness narratives are never culturally neutral. In South Asia, they are shaped by family dynamics, spirituality, gender norm, stigma, and economic precarity. A woman with postpartum depression might describe her suffering as “weakness” or attribute it to supernatural origins, both of which are reflective of societal scripts about femininity and maternal identity, rather than a lack of insight. A man with cancer may conceal his symptoms to preserve his role as family provider, fearing that disclosure of illness will undermine the household’s economic security. However, a patient’s refusal of surgery may not be unreasonable but based on the strong sense that the body should not be violated^{[5][7]}.

Understanding these cultural narratives is not an academic exercise. It is essential to good care. The clinician who perceives non-adherence as laziness rather than as cultural negotiation will repeatedly fail the patient. Narrative medicine's emphasis on contextual, attentive listening equips clinicians to engage with these deeper layers of meaning—and, crucially, to recognise the limits of their own cultural assumptions.

Bangladesh has a particular cultural landscape. Many patients first try to get healthcare support from traditional healers, religious people or family elders. Their models of illness are often hybrids of biological and folk models that make sense internally but are invisible to clinicians who do not ask. The question “What do you think is causing your illness?”, simple as it is, can transform a consultation, building trust and revealing therapeutically important information that no investigation would capture^[8].

Narrative Medicine and Clinician Wellbeing

Narrative medicine has a subtle but profound effect on the clinicians themselves. Burnout in healthcare is not just a function of long hours, but often a result of losing the sense of purpose that brought people to the profession in the first place^[9]. When medicine becomes a list of tasks to accomplish, instead of a relationship to be fostered, clinicians lose something essential to their professional identity and resilience.

Reading literary works, writing observations about challenging cases, or discussing patient encounters in a supported narrative context help reawaken the moral imagination that routine clinical work tends to dull^[9]. These practices help clinicians to work with difficult emotions, to acquire words for experiences that are otherwise hard to express, and to reconnect with their own values. This is not therapy; it is professional formation. Narrative medicine reminds clinicians that their work matters not only because it heals but because it connects human beings in one of life's most vulnerable moments.

In high-workload environments such as Bangladesh Medical University's outpatient departments, which receives nearly 3,000 patients daily, the risk of compassion fatigue is substantial^{[8][11]}. Structured narrative practice, including short reflection activities embedded in clinical instruction, may serve as a protective resource for clinicians working with these demands.

Narrative Medicine in Low-Resource Settings: A Necessity, Not a Luxury

In many countries, including Bangladesh, clinicians work under intense systemic pressure. Outpatient consultations frequently last only a few minutes. It might appear that narrative medicine may feel like a luxury of well-resourced, unhurried settings. It is the other way around. In systems where investigations are delayed, follow-up is uncertain, and the margin for diagnostic error is small, the story the patient tells is an invaluable clinical resource^[8].

A well-placed open question can reduce unnecessary medication, change the clinical focus to the actual presenting problem, and prevent the cascade of investigations triggered by assumptions. In resource-limited settings, these efficiencies matter greatly. Narrative medicine does not demand lengthy encounters; it demands intentional ones.

Moreover, patients in resource-limited settings frequently have limited health literacy and may have difficulties presenting symptoms in the clinical register. Narrative medicine's attention to the whole range of patient expression, including metaphor, hesitation, and cultural reference, is especially valuable where this gap is prominent. Meeting patients on their own language, rather than requiring them to be clinically fluent, is both an ethical necessity and a practical diagnostic advantage.

The Digital Dimension: Potential and Pitfalls

The integration of digital tools and artificial intelligence into healthcare raises important questions for narrative medicine. On one hand, digital platforms offer new possibilities: to gather patient narratives, through structured prompts, to identify symptoms of distress in clinical notes, through machine learning^[1], and online reflective writing communities for clinicians. Visual and animated formats have also shown promise as vehicles for medical narratives, opening new ways to communicate illness experience beyond written or spoken language^[6]. The emergence of telemedicine consultations in the post-pandemic world has shown that narrative attention can be maintained in remote locations, as long as clinicians are willing to adjust their communication patterns.

On the other hand, the acceleration of clinical documentation through AI-assisted summarisation risks further diminishes the space available for patient narrative. As clinical notes increasingly a record of what an algorithm identifies as relevant, what happens to the meaning-laden facts that do not fit into

algorithmic categories? Narrative medicine provides a counterpoint: a reminder that the human act of hearing and interpreting cannot be entirely mechanized without loss^[3].

The goal thus is to use technology in ways that extend narrative space, not contract it—to use digital tools to document and communicate patient stories more vividly, while avoiding the impulse to reduce clinical encounters to computable data points.

Practical Challenges and Context-Sensitive Solutions

Despite its promise, narrative medicine faces real obstacles in routine practice, particularly in resource-constrained health systems. Recognizing these obstacles does not undermine the justification for narrative medicine, but rather grounds it in reality and refers to contextual ways ahead.

Time Constraints

In busy outpatient settings, such as at Bangladesh Medical University, which sees approximately 3,000 patients a day, there are just minutes per consultation. Yet narrative medicine does not require lengthy conversations. A single attentive, open-ended question at the outset of a consultation can save time overall by reducing the misunderstandings that generate repeat visits and unnecessary investigations. Efficiency and narrative attentiveness are not opposed; they are mutually reinforcing when the approach is practised with skill^[8].

Training Gaps

Most medical curricula prioritise biomedical knowledge, with limited formal attention to listening, interpretation, or reflective practice. Clinicians may feel unprepared to engage meaningfully with patient narratives. A practical way of addressing this need is to include short, realistically orientated narrative medicine modules in existing communication skills education rather than creating stand-alone courses. Medical students who share their own illness experiences as part of structured curriculum initiatives have reported enhanced empathy, reduced stigma, and stronger professional identity^[12].

Measurement and Evaluation

Empathy, attentiveness, and reflective capacity are inherently difficult to quantify. However, this does not render them unmeasurable. Validated tools such as the Jefferson Scale of Empathy, patient-reported experience measures, reflective writing assessment rubrics, and consultation observation frameworks

offer useful, albeit imperfect, modes of evaluation. Research is increasingly demonstrating measurable improvements in patient satisfaction, treatment adherence, and clinical communication following narrative medicine training^{[5][1]}.

Systemic Barriers

Health systems are becoming more concerned with measurable outputs, throughput metrics, and performance indicators. In this context, relational aspects of care risk being systematically undervalued. One constructive response is to align narrative medicine with outcomes that institutions already care about: patient satisfaction scores, adherence rates, reduced readmission, and staff retention. Framing narrative medicine in these terms does not diminish it; it makes it legible to decision-makers who hold the resources necessary for its implementation.

Implications for Medical Education in Bangladesh

Bangladesh has achieved substantial improvements in key health indicators over recent decades, including reductions in maternal and child mortality, improved control of communicable diseases, and expanded primary healthcare coverage. As the country's health system continues to evolve, a key challenge is ensuring that these population-level achievements are accompanied by improvements in the quality, compassion, and person-centredness of individual clinical encounters. Medical education in Bangladesh is well placed to integrate narrative medicine within existing curricular frameworks. Recent reforms by the Bangladesh Medical and Dental Council have placed increasing emphasis on communication skills, professionalism, and patient-centered care alongside biomedical competence. Narrative medicine can strengthen these priorities by providing structured opportunities for students to engage with patients' lived experiences. Potential approaches include incorporating Bangla literary texts and patient narratives into communication skills training, introducing reflective writing as part of clinical portfolio assessment, and creating facilitated small-group discussions where students can critically reflect on challenging clinical encounters in a supportive environment. Faculty development is equally important. Clinicians who model narrative attentiveness in their own practice, by inviting patients to share their stories and acknowledging the personal and social dimensions of illness, have a profound influence on the professional development of future physicians. Strengthening faculty capacity in narrative medicine is therefore an investment not only in teaching quality but also in fostering a more humane culture of clinical care.

Integrating narrative medicine into undergraduate and postgraduate medical education may help cultivate clinicians who are not only scientifically competent but also attentive to the human experiences that shape health, illness, and healing.

Conclusion

Narrative medicine is not a rejection of biomedical science. It is a reclamation of one of medicine's oldest and most enduring wisdoms: that healing is relational. Illness is not merely a biological event; it is a disruption of a person's life—of their relationships, roles, and sense of self. When clinicians attend to that disruption, even briefly, they offer care that reaches deeper than cure.

In health systems shaped by speed, metrics, and algorithmic efficiency, narrative medicine offers a necessary counterbalance. It asks clinicians to pause, to listen, and to engage with the person behind the diagnosis. This does not require additional resources; it requires a reorientation of attention—and a commitment, in both education and practice, to keeping that attention alive.

The most powerful instrument in clinical practice is not the imaging suite, the laboratory, or the prescription pad. It is the clinician's sustained, thoughtful attention. When we listen carefully, we do not merely diagnose, we accompany. And in that act of accompaniment, many patients begin to find their way toward healing^[2].

The integration of narrative medicine into Bangladeshi medical education and clinical practice represents both a timely opportunity and a professional responsibility. It will not resolve the structural pressures on health systems. But it can restore, one consultation at a time, the human relationship at the heart of medicine.

Statements and Declarations

Acknowledgements

The authors take full responsibility for the content of this manuscript. ChatGPT and Grammarly were used solely for English language editing. All suggestions were reviewed and verified by the authors, who remain fully responsible for the accuracy, interpretation, and conclusions presented in the manuscript.

References

1. ^{a, b, c, d, e, f}Efthymiou E (2025). "Integrating Digital and Narrative Medicine in Modern Healthcare: A Systematic Review." *Med Educ Online*. 30(1):2475979. doi:doi:10.1080/10872981.2025.2475979.
2. ^{a, b, c}Tummala M, McNicoll L (2026). "Using Life Stories to Support Patient-Centered Care: A Narrative Review of Implementation and Impact." *J Gen Intern Med*. 41(1):187–196. doi:doi:10.1007/s11606-025-09746-6.
3. ^{a, b, c, d, e}Paglialonga M, Simonetti C (2025). "Beyond the Algorithm: The Importance of Medical Humanities in the Age of AI." *Monash Bioeth. Rev*. doi:doi:10.1007/s40592-025-00259-w.
4. ^ΔCharon R (2007). "What to Do with Stories: The Sciences of Narrative Medicine." *Can Fam Physician*. 53(8):1265–1267.
5. ^{a, b, c, d, e, f}Chen G, Xu C, Wang K, Wang Z, Xu G, Ji X (2025). "From Stories to Science: Mapping Global Trends in Narrative Medicine Research (2004–2024)." *Cureus*. 17(8):e89211. doi:doi:10.7759/cureus.89211.
6. ^{a, b}Li O, Sofian SM, Ehrlich N, Honess Roe A, Schaefer RA (2025). "Unveiling the Power of Animated Documentaries in Medical Narratives." *J Med Humanit*. doi:doi:10.1007/s10912-025-09979-w.
7. ^{a, b, c}Dutta R (2025). "The Limitations of Narrative Medicine." *Theor Med Bioeth*. 46(3):247–264. doi:doi:10.1007/s11017-025-09713-6.
8. ^{a, b, c, d, e, f}Mohama A, Shih S, Behel J (2025). "From Compassion Fatigue to Connection: The Role of Story and Art in Healthcare." *J Gen Intern Med*. 40(14):3477–3480. doi:doi:10.1007/s11606-025-09587-3.
9. ^{a, b, c}Thakur A (2024). "From Fiction to Function: How Literature Influences Medical Practice." *SSRN*. doi:doi:10.2139/ssrn.5055445.
10. ^{a, b}Band I, Chokshi K (2025). "Confronting the Gap Between Classroom Ideals and the Reality of Clinical Culture: A Student's Perspective." *VIB*. 11. doi:doi:10.52214/vib.v11i.13876.
11. ^ΔTusher HJ (2014). "BSMMU Re-emerges with Advanced Health Services." *The Daily Star*. <https://www.thedailystar.net/bsmmu-re-emerges-with-advanced-health-services-51512>.
12. ^ΔOlesinski EA, Horn SE, Bergenholtz S, Hirsch AE (2025). "The Student Perspectives Initiative: A Novel Program Integrating Medical Students' Own Personal Stories of Illness into the Pre-clinical Medical Curriculum." *Med.Sci.Educ*. 35(5):2401–2412. doi:doi:10.1007/s40670-025-02450-4.

Declarations

Funding: No specific funding was received for this work.

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.