

Review of: "The Role of Green Legacy in Promoting Sustainable Development and Combating Climate Change"

Eromose Ebhuoma¹

¹ University of South Africa

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The manuscript is not of scientific standard worthy of publishing in its current format. Firstly, the abstract seem to be over 450 words, which is surprising.

In the abstract, it may be worthwhile to briefly define what Green Legacy means.

The first sentence in the introduction needs to be revised. Also, a single sentence should not stand as a paragraph.

Also, the authors should refrain from using colons in the introduction. For example, positive impact on the Green Legacy on climate change: assessing effectiveness: climate change adaptation:

Also, I do not see why the authors would use bullet points for the objectives. I would advise that the authors look at papers published in Qeios or other reputable journals and pay attention to the way the various sections were presented and follow suit.

After the objectives, the authors should provide a statement telling readers how the article proceeds.

Literature review

This section is extremely poor. Going with the title, the authors are expected to focus the literature on the ways in which green initiatives in various parts of the world have facilitated addressing climate change and triggered the attainment of sustainable development. This would provide a base to compare their findings.

For the results, a surprising aspect is the lack of a discussion section.

Finally, I would strongly advise the authors to language edit their work after making the necessary revisions and, if possible, give the revised manuscript to a scholar who's extremely knowledgeable in the subject area for his or her input before resubmitting.