

Review of: "The Role of Platelet Rich Plasma Injections in Cases of Stress Incontinence"

Komang Agung Irianto

Potential competing interests: The author(s) declared that no potential competing interests exist.

Dear authors,

1. Is this a case series report or a clinical trial report?
2. How did the author choose the patient sample (10 patients age <50 year old and 10 patients age >50 year old).
3. How did the author count the sample number?
4. It would be better to make a case control where the control should be given other non-surgical modality treatment or be given placebo injection.
5. In the study design, the inclusion criteria was any female patient with mild to moderate SUI above 35 years old but in the result, 3 patients suffered severe SUI
6. Did patient receive concurrent treatment for SUI during the study period?
7. PRP was injected with a 30-gauge needle, into the anterior vaginal mucosa around the patient's meatus urethra. The PRP cell suspension is quite thick. Why did the author use 30-G needle? This injection was aim to the external urethra and not the mid-urethra area?
8. In the method it was not clear whether the treatment was given for 3 consecutive months or just once? PRP is usually given 3 times.
9. in discussion the author mentioned about the cofounding factor but it did not showed in the demographic data. It will be better if all the patients with cofounding factor be analysed separately (as in a case series)