Review of: "Cadmium Toxicity Induced Changes on Antioxidative Enzymes Level in Fresh Water Catfish Channa Punctatus (Bloch)"

Jamiu Omirinde[1]

1 University of Jos

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Abstract:

- Recast the choice of language used, particularly in the concluding part of the abstract. In fact, "This study will provide valuable information regarding cadmium toxicity" is enough to stand alone.

Introduction

- In paragraph 4, please re-order the citations by starting from the oldest to newest, e.g., "Doving, 1991.........Christopher et al., 2010," and in a couple of others within the same paragraph and in the rest of the manuscript.

Materials and Methods

What is the route of exposure of the agent?

Results

1. Result presentations should immediately come before the tables and figures. Please do the needful.

2. After careful observations, it seems the results are duplicated in both tabular and graphical formats. Therefore, you are advised to stick to either a table or a graph, not both. I will suggest you stick to a graph.

3. If you choose to use a table, provide the legends for the asterisks (*) and (**) below each table. Also, you need to critically examine your data for significance, if present at all, as most of the values are very close to establishing statistical significance.

4. The placement of the probability ($p<0.05$) or ($p>0.05$) is not appropriate. Rather, it should come after the word significantly or insignificantly, such as .....decreased significantly ($p<0.05$)...This should be effected appropriately.

5. The two (2) paragraphs below the last graph on protein levels should actually come under the histopathology section results. However, there were no histopathological slides results in this write-up. Therefore, these paragraphs have to be deleted.

Discussion

The preambles before presentation and discussion of findings are too lengthy for this section. Therefore, these have to be reduced and blended with your findings. Also, your findings have to be compared with previous reports, and sound inferences should be made across all the findings. It is suggested that the discussion section be recast for it to be meaningful.