

Review of: "Science desperately needs disruptive innovation"

Nitin Agarwala

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The article has relevance but requires much greater explanation of what is that the author wishes to do and how. In the current form the author needs to provide some explicit clarity of the science areas he is talking of. Similarly, the model and the mathematical working needs to be explained and shown for replication. It is not understood why the DI for the tech areas is discussed when the discussion is towards science. The article lacks a formal conclusion and referencing for areas of earlier studies on DI. The explanation needs to be simplified for the common reader to understand since the topic is unique and not discussed. If not done, the author may find little readership / acceptance to the article.