

Review of: "Perceptions of Academic Dishonesty: Insights from the University of Tehran"

Sioux McKenna¹

¹ Rhodes University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

This is a fascinating study in terms of the data collected and analysed. The weakness (in my view) is the brevity of the discussion. There is mention of mistrust in professors (with good data evidence provided), but little deliberation as to the implications thereof. There was also discussion of the differences between self-reporting and reporting on knowledge of plagiarism by others - and again, much could be unpacked in this regard.

We have so many studies showing how frequent plagiarism is (and it is useful to get these from a country where we do not yet have many studies on this), but we have very few studies that place these deliberations within the broader literature on causal mechanisms. Deliberations about the commodification of knowledge, the publish or perish culture, and so on, and the effects these have on the practices of students (and professors) would greatly strengthen this contribution.