

Review of: "Cost-Effectiveness Analysis: Ultrasound with Alpha-Fetoprotein versus Ultrasound Alone for At-Risk Hepatocellular Carcinoma Patients with and without Cirrhosis Progression: A Systematic Review"

Feng Yuan

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The article assesses the cost-effectiveness of employing ultrasound in conjunction with Alpha-Fetoprotein (AFP) screening versus using ultrasound alone for early detection of Hepatocellular Carcinoma (HCC) among at-risk individuals. Yet there are a few clarifications I seek for a more comprehensive understanding of your work:

(1) In reference to Table 3, if the term "# of study" denotes the number of individual studies reviewed? If so, does this imply that the primary focus of your systematic review was on a total of ten articles?

(2) It seems that the Conclusion section is missing. The article did not provide a clear conclusion

(3) How many articles were reviewed in the article, and how many were excluded? This needs to be explained in detail in the article.