

# Review of: "[Review] Early Real World Evidence on the Relative SARS-CoV-2 Vaccine Effectiveness of Bivalent COVID-19 Booster Doses: a Narrative Review"

Luma M. Al-Obaidy<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> University of Mosul

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The research is organized and well designed but there are some points that should be considered to increase the quality of the published paper

1. The number of references added in the introduction section was very small. The introduction section requires more explanation with addition of more references.
2. In method section, the author mentioned that this study is systematic review but in the title it was written as narrative review. The author should change "narrative review" in the title to "systematic review".
3. In page 3, write CDC and FDA in full terms because they are mentioned in the first time followed by their abbreviation between two brackets then use the abbreviations only.
4. The author should use either "covid-19" or "COVID-19". In some sentences united states were written as "USA" while in others it was written as "united states". They should be uniformed.
5. Explain the meaning of "NAAT" test.
6. The research requires English editing especially to the use of capital and small letters and to the use of coma and dots in numbers such as in page 8, replace dot in "51.011employees " with coma and in table 1, field of "study population" replace all dots with coma. In field of

"Relative VE% death" the author should replace coma with dots.

7. In page 8, "A retrospective study (in preprint) conducted by Shrestha et al. at 1", why dose the author add 1 after Shrestha et al. at ? the actual number of this reference should be added.