

Peer Review

Review of: "Bladder Chemical Carcinogens in the Workplace"

Hadi Ghasemi¹

1. Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Iran, Islamic Republic of

In this review paper, the author aims to explore the potential risks of occupational exposure in bladder cancer development. The paper provides valuable insights into the relationship between occupational exposure and bladder cancer; however, there are some issues that need to be addressed before it can be judged for publication.

- The introduction needs to be well organized to highlight the classification of bladder cancer.
- Abbreviations should be defined at first mention.
- The statement about the "crushing awareness" of occupational exposure could be rephrased for clarity. Perhaps specify how this lack of awareness manifests in practice.
- When discussing aromatic amines, consider providing specific examples of industries where exposure is prevalent, along with relevant statistics or case studies.
- The discussion on historical exposure is crucial. It may be beneficial to include a timeline or visual representation to illustrate how exposure levels have changed over the decades.
- The conclusion mentions the need for vigilance but could be more impactful with specific recommendations for stakeholders (e.g., policymakers, healthcare providers) on how to improve recognition and prevention of occupational diseases.
- What is your conclusion? Hence, add a significant statement that must be structured as "what was offered by the author?"
- In the end, the use of the English language and grammatical errors require thorough revision by a person familiar with English as a first language.

Declarations

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.