

Review of: "Political Transition in Sudan"

Enock Ndawana¹

¹ University of Zimbabwe

Potential competing interests: The author(s) declared that no potential competing interests exist.

In its current state, this paper is just a narration of the political problems in Sudan which adds no value to scholarship. The author fails to demonstrate knowledge of the key debates about politics in Africa and where he or she is situating the current study and its contribution. As a way forward, the author is encouraged to use his discussion of the previous coups in Sudan to help him draw insights on how the current developments may either differ or follow the same footsteps and the related consequences for peace and stability in the country.

The above major weakness of the paper is clear in the abstract and introduction. The abstract fails to clearly show what the study was about, its theoretical or conceptual or analytical framework, methodology, main argument, key findings and conclusion. This part of the paper also has glaring spelling, grammatical and typographical errors that are also found in the entire paper. The author is encouraged to pay attention to detail and proofread his or her work. This reviewer found the introduction of this paper inadequate (two sentences) and lacking purpose. The second sentence there is too long and should be rephrased and broken down into 3 or so sentences. One would expect an introduction of an article to clearly present the background, justification and contribution of the current paper and the author is encouraged to rework here.

In the main paper, the author must properly acknowledge his sources. There are many areas that are not referenced.